2008 COMMUNITY ATTITUDES SURVEY

Conducted for:



THE CITY OF WEST LINN

Data collected January 2008



www.cdri.com



INTRODUCTION

The City of West Linn commissioned the 2008 Community Attitudes Survey to help City officials and staff better understand resident attitudes and opinions.

The report is divided into the following sections:

- **Executive Summary**, highlighting the key findings of the research.
- **Research Results,** outlining the findings from the research.
- Conclusions and Recommendations, providing the next steps we believe should be taken based on the research and our past experience.
- Appendix, containing a review of the survey methodology as well as a copy of the survey questionnaire and the data printout.

If you have questions or comments about this research, contact *Martha DeLong* at Campbell DeLong Resources, Inc. by phone at (503) 221-2005 or email Marthad@cdri.com.

Within the City of West Linn, questions can be directed to *Kirsten Wyatt, Assistant to the City Manager,* at (503) 657-0331, or email kwyatt@ci.west-linn.or.us.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECI	UTIVE SUMMARY	1
RESE	ARCH RESULTS	3
	Living in West Linn	3
	Satisfaction with City Services	11
	Future Directions	20
	Civic Participation & Information Sources	24
	Demographics	32
CONC	LUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	39
APPEN	NDIX	43
	Methods	45
	Questionnaire	

Data printout

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following summarizes key points from the research. To understand the full depth of the research, however, the reader is urged to review the entire report.

METHODS

In January 2008, Campbell DeLong Resources, Inc. conducted a telephone survey with a random sample of 350 residents of West Linn. For full detail on the survey methods, see page 45 in the Appendix. The questionnaire and data printout are also included in the Appendix.

OBJECTIVES

The goal of the research is to help the City of West Linn better understand resident attitudes and opinions on key issues and measures of livability.

RESEARCH RESULTS

In most respects, West Linn residents continue to be highly satisfied and deeply engaged with their community. Growth is the one significant concern that emerges in the survey. Key findings include:

- Most residents are extremely satisfied with the current quality of life in West Linn, but many believe quality of life will decline over the next 10 years.
- Growth is the main reason for the expected decrease in livability, and growth is also cited as the most important issue facing City Council.
- Schools and police are considered the two most important City services. "Growth management" is next on the list, again underscoring strong concerns on this issue.
- Analysis of performance versus importance ratings confirms that residents see West Linn's key strengths as schools, public safety, and parks. Growth management and street maintenance are perceived problem areas that require additional efforts and/or better communication on the part of the City.
- Most residents have fairly favorable attitudes toward City management, and very positive perceptions of City staff.
- Residents strongly support the City taking responsibility for planning in the Stafford area. There is also broad agreement that the City should engage in sustainable environmental practices.
- After hearing descriptions including estimated costs of several potential City projects, residents give the strongest support for street repair projects, followed by trails/paths. There is little support for the purchase of additional land for parks and open spaces or for an aquatics center.

- Most West Linn residents feel they know their neighbors and are able to name their neighborhood association. One-quarter say they have attended a neighborhood association meeting in the last year.
- A little over half of residents have come in contact with City officials or personnel, from a wide variety of departments.
- Top information sources are the local *Tidings* newspaper and the City's newsletter. In addition, the City's website receives the highest mentions as a source of information in any community survey CDRI has conducted (32%). The depth and variety of information sources cited underscores the unusually high level of civic engagement among West Linn residents.
- Most residents say they have attended at least one community event. The Old Time Fair is mentioned most frequently.
- West Linn's demographic profile includes many parents in their 30s and 40s, as well as older residents and a mix of both longtime and newer residents. Nearly all West Linn residents are homeowners, well-educated, and registered to vote.

KEY CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. West Linn residents are generally very satisfied with the high quality of life offered by their community.
- 2. The one major concern is growth and how it will affect future quality of life.
- 3. There is clear support for the City taking charge of planning in the Stafford area.
- 4. Support exists for a bond measure for street repair and maintenance.

More detailed Key Conclusions and Recommendations may be reviewed, starting on page 39 of this report.

RESEARCH RESULTS

I. Living in West Linn

RESIDENTS ARE VERY PLEASED WITH THE CURRENT QUALITY OF LIFE IN WEST LINN, BUT ARE LESS CONFIDENT THAT QUALITY WILL BE MAINTAINED IN THE FUTURE.

Before asking about specific City issues and services, respondents were asked to rate their overall satisfaction with West Linn *"as a place to live."* On the rating scale, a "0" means West Linn is a very poor place to live and a "10" means it is an excellent place to live. Then residents were asked to rate what they think the quality of life will be in ten years, using the same rating scale.

Responses to current and future livability questions are shown juxtaposed on the graphic on page 4. For each question, the chart shows the percentage of residents giving low (0-4), neutral (5-7), or high (8-10) ratings, along with the average (mean) rating.

Key findings include:

Most residents are extremely satisfied with the current quality of life in West Linn. The average rating for current quality of life is 8.2, which is higher than typically seen in community surveys. Responses are generally quite similar across the various demographic segments of the population — including gender, length of residence, and age — indicating widespread satisfaction among West Linn residents.

One segment that stands out as particularly satisfied is parents of children under the age of 18, who give an 8.5 average rating, the highest of any segment. Those without children give a still very strong 8.0 average rating. Also, those who have had contact with West Linn city government tend to be less satisfied with quality of life than are those who have not had contact (73% versus 85% rate an 8, 9, or 10).


Ratings decline when it comes to predicting what kind of place West Linn will be ten years from now. The average rating for future quality of life drops nearly a full point, to 7.3. In looking to the future, it is the long-time residents who are most pessimistic; those who have lived in West Linn for ten years or more give an average rating of 6.9, significantly lower than the 7.7 generated by those who have lived in West Linn for less than ten years. There is a corresponding gap between those without children at home (7.1) and those with children under the age of 18 (7.6).

Also, there continues to be a difference in outlook based on whether or not residents have had contact with the City, with those who have had contact being significantly less optimistic than those who have not had contact (7.1 versus 7.6). It actually is not uncommon for residents who have had contact with a City — who often have specific complaints or problems — to be less positive in their responses. It does not necessarily mean that City staff has done a poor job in interacting with residents, but it is a concern.

West Linn as a place to live — current & future

Q: First, overall, how do you rate West Linn as a place to live? Please use a 0 to 10 scale. A 0 is the bottom of the scale, a very poor place to live, and a 10 is the top of the scale, an excellent place to live.

Next we want to get your impression of how, if at all, the quality of life will change in West Linn over the next decade. Ten years from now, what kind of a place do you think West Linn will be to live? Again use a 0 to 10 scale, where 0 is a very poor place and a 10 is an excellent place to live.



n=350

MOST RESIDENTS BELIEVE QUALITY OF LIFE WILL DECREASE OR STAY THE SAME IN THE FUTURE.

Looking at the same data another way, respondents were divided into one of four categories based on their responses to the ratings questions:


- Those who think quality of life will *improve* in ten years that is, they give a higher rating for the future than for today.
- Those who think quality of life will *decrease* in ten years they give a lower rating for the future than for today.
- ✓ Those who think quality of life will stay about the same giving the same rating for both.
- ✓ Those who are *unsure* giving a "don't know" response for future quality of life.

As shown on the pie chart, the vast majority either think quality of life will decrease (47%) or stay the same (39%). Very few residents believe West Linn's quality of life will improve in ten years (8%).

What direction quality of life will go in future



Based on comparison of ratings for current versus future quality of life



In the 2006 Community Attitudes survey conducted by Riley Research, residents were asked whether they think livability has improved, declined, or stayed about the same "over the past couple years." About 3 in 10 said it has improved, nearly half said it has stayed the same, and one-quarter said it has declined. Although the question was asked differently, based on this year's responses it appears that more West Linn residents are becoming concerned about livability in their community.

GROWTH IS THE MAIN REASON RESIDENTS THINK QUALITY OF LIFE WILL DECLINE.

Based on which category they fall in, residents were asked an open-ended question to better understand why they think future livability will improve, stay the same, or decrease.

The relatively small group (28 respondents) who think quality of life will improve in the future cite reasons such as *"City trying to improve"* (7 responses), *"more services/programs"* (8 responses), and *"good schools"* (4 responses). Most of the handful of residents who said they are unsure what will happen with quality of life continue to state that they simply *"don't know."*

Responses from the much larger segments of the sample who believe quality of life will decrease or stay the same are shown on the tables on the following pages. Key findings include:

- Growth is the main reason for expected decrease in quality of life. The vast majority (96%) of this more pessimistic segment, which constitutes nearly half the sample, cites issues related to growth as the reason they believe quality of life will decrease in West Linn. "Too much growth" (49%) is the main response. Beyond growth, just a handful of residents voice concerns about City government (6%) or fiscal issues, including "increased taxes" (6%). On this and all four of the open-ended questions, responses are very similar across the various demographic segments.
- Existing community, people, schools cited as main reasons quality of life will be maintained. When asked why they think quality of life will stay about the same, this group of residents about 4 in 10 respondents overall states a wide range of variations on the theme that "things are not going to change" (16%), with specific reasons cited including the people who live in West Linn (12%), the "good schools" (12%), existing quality of life (10%), good city government/planning (10%), and limited growth (10%).

Why quality of life will decrease

Q: Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will decrease in the next ten years?

Asked of those who rate livability in ten years lower than livability today

Note: multiple responses allowed.

Why quality of life will be lower in 10 years	Rate livability lower n=164
Growth-related	96%
Too much growth Overbuilding Traffic/roads City can't keep up with growth Water supply concerns	49% 27% 15% 3% 2%
Cost-related	11%
Increased taxes Too expensive Increasing utility rates	6% 3% 2%
Concerns about City government	6%
Need better City government Poor City-resident communications Poor City planning	3% 2% 2%
Decrease in school quality	3%
Decreasing socio-economic levels	2%
Not enough local services	2%
Other, 1% or less	5%
Don't know	3%

Why quality of life will stay the same

Q: Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will stay about the same over the next ten years?

Asked of those who rate livability in ten years the same as livability today Note: multiple responses allowed.

Why quality of life will be the same in ten years	Rate livability the same n=137
General/status quo	31%
Things not going to change Good place to live Can maintain quality of life here	16% 10% 4%
Same people will be here	12%
Good schools	12%
City government/planning	10%
Good City government Good City planning City improvements	4% 4% 2%
Limited growth	10%
Limited growth possibilities Limited business/industry	6% 4%
Becoming more upscale	8%
Citizen participation	5%
Parks/rec opportunities	3%
More housing	2%
Services available	2%
Other, 1% or less	9%
Don't know	8%

GROWTH IS CONSIDERED THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE FOR CITY COUNCIL, FOLLOWED BY MAINTAINING OR EXPANDING VARIOUS CITY SERVICES.

At the conclusion of the survey, residents were asked to describe what they believe is the one most important issue facing West Linn's City Council. As shown on the table, *"growth,"* with 36% mentions, stands out as the key issue for residents. Another 2 in 10 residents cite various concerns related to maintaining or increasing City services, primarily streets and sidewalks (10%). There is also a substantial group of residents who cite concerns around the City managing money wisely (10%) or needing to reduce taxes or fees (8% combined mentions).

Responses are generally similar across demographic segments, although some groups stand out as being particularly concerned about growth. Those most likely to cite growth as the key issue include the more affluent college graduates (42%, compared to 28% mentions among those without a college education) and middle-aged residents (43%, compared to 33% among those 55 and older and only 26% among the small segment of 18 to 34 year olds). There is a similar pattern among homeowners versus renters and parents versus non-parents.

Also, the perceived importance of street/sidewalk maintenance increases with age, from zero mentions among those 18 to 34 to 16% among those 55 and older. And finally, it's no surprise that schools are significantly more likely to be cited by parents as a key issue (10%, versus 2% among non-parents).

These findings are generally consistent with the 2006 survey, where *"growth management"* was cited as the biggest priority, along with city services and good government.

Most important issue

Q: And finally, what do you believe is the one most important issue West Linn's City Council needs to address over the next year?

Most important issue	Total n=350
Growth	36%
City services	21%
Street/sidewalk maintenance More parks/rec Need more police Public safety Maintain/upgrade infrastructure	10% 4% 3% 3% 2%
Fiscal management/taxes Budget management/use money wisely Lower taxes Reduce fees	17% 10% 6% 2%
Schools	6%
Community relations	4%
Listen to citizens Better public image/vision Establish trust with community	2% 1% 1%
Traffic	3%
More economic development	3%
Other, 1% or less	10%
Don't know	13%

II. Satisfaction with City Services

SCHOOLS AND POLICE ARE CONSIDERED MOST IMPORTANT, BUT "GROWTH MANAGEMENT" IS NEAR THE TOP OF THE LIST.

Residents were asked to rate the importance of a list of services that could impact where they decide to live. On the rating scale, a "0" means it makes little or no difference to them how good this service is when deciding where to live and a "10" means it is extremely important. The overall average importance rating is 7.5, indicating that residents generally perceive all the items on the list as important.

The services are listed on the graphic on page 12 in order of their mean importance rating. Details include:


- Schools and public safety are most important. With an 8.4 average rating, schools top the list, followed by police/public safety at 8.3. Both schools and police are considered about equally important in all demographic segments, with a couple of variations. Schools are particularly important to parents (9.2) and significantly less so among those without children at home (7.7), while police is more important to women (8.6, versus 8.1 among men) and those with children at home (8.6, versus 8.2 among non-parents). Women tend to give higher importance ratings than men to all services, though generally not by a significant margin.
- Growth management on a second tier. Right after the core services of schools and police comes "growth management," with a 7.8 average importance rating. This is an unusually high rating for this issue, underscoring the strong concerns residents express elsewhere in the survey about growth and future livability. This finding is consistent with the 2006 survey, in which the top priorities mentioned by residents were "land use planning" and "traffic management."

Middle-aged residents give the highest importance ratings for growth management of any segment (8.1, versus 7.6 among older and 7.1 among younger residents). Except for schools and parks, the relatively small segment of younger residents (ages 18 to 34) give lower importance ratings than do older voters on all services.

- Streets, parks, and library on a third tier. Average importance ratings for these services range from 7.5 to 7.3. Other than younger residents being less interested in streets, ratings for street maintenance are similar across segments. Women tend to consider libraries much more important than do men (7.8 versus 6.7), while parents place significantly more importance on parks compared to non-parents (8.0 versus 7.1).
- Rec programs, economic development considered least important. With average ratings at 6.5 for recreational programs and 6.4 for economic development, these areas are clearly considered lower priorities. Parents, not surprisingly, are more interested than are non-parents in rec programs (6.9 versus 6.2).

Importance of city services

Q: Now I want you to rate the importance a number of services that may impact where you decide to live. Again I want you to use a "0" to "10" scale. On the scale, a "0" means of no importance — it makes little or no difference to you how good this service is when you are deciding where to live. A "10" is means extremely important — you would not choose to live here if you did not believe the quality of this service is very high. How about...



PERFORMANCE RATINGS VARY WIDELY, THOUGH SCHOOLS AND POLICE ARE RATED WELL.

After rating the importance of City services when picking a place to live, residents were asked to rate the quality of the services as provided by West Linn. The same rating scale was used, with "0" meaning poor and "10" meaning excellent. Average ratings are shown on the chart in order of the services' importance to residents.

Performance ratings as a whole are lower than for the importance of services, with an overall average performance rating of 7.0 compared to the overall average importance rating of 7.5. This is very typical for resident surveys — most residents tend to give lower ratings for their City's performance compared to the importance of core services. The good news is that the two most important services — schools and police/public safety — also receive among the highest performance ratings (8.2 for schools and 7.6 for police). Note that the average performance rating for schools is very close to the importance rating for schools — a good indication of residents being very pleased with West Linn schools.

On the negative side, *"growth management"* receives the lowest average rating of all services (5.7) while being the third highest in importance to residents. Street maintenance is also rated well below average in performance (6.2). Two somewhat less important City services are rated above average in quality — parks (7.7) and the library (7.8).


These attitudes are generally consistent with the 2006 survey, where residents indicated that *"land use planning"* was most in need of improvement while parks, police, and library services were viewed most favorably.

There are some variations in performance ratings across demographic segments, as follows:

- ▶ Women give the City higher ratings. In addition to the higher level of importance they place on each item, women also tend provide more positive ratings than do men for how well West Linn is doing in each area. There is a statistically significant gender gap for two service areas libraries (women rate 8.1, men 7.5) and economic development (6.3 versus 5.8).
- Older residents tend to be less satisfied with City services. With a few exceptions such as schools and police, which most everyone rates highly, and growth management, which most residents rate considerably lower performance ratings appear to decrease among older residents. For street maintenance, for example, the average rating is 7.6 among the small segment of those ages 18 to 34, 6.5 among those 35 to 54, and only 5.9 among those 55 and older. There is a similar pattern of declining satisfaction ratings across age groups for parks (from 8.6 to 7.5), the library (8.5 to 7.6), recreational programs (7.6 to 6.9), and economic development (6.7 to 6.0). This demographic pattern is echoed in often higher performance ratings from the generally younger segments of the population, such as parents versus non-parents, as well as newer residents versus long-time residents.

Quality of services

Q: Now I want you to rate the quality of these same services as provided to the community of West Linn. Again, use a 0 to 10 scale. On the scale, a "0" indicates you believe the quality of this service in West Linn is poor. A "10" means excellent — the quality of the service in West Linn is very high. How about...



n=350

PERFORMANCE MAP ILLUSTRATES AN ORGANIZATION'S PERCEIVED STRENGTHS AS WELL AS ANY WEAKNESSES.


To give further insight into the residents' perceptions of services provided by West Linn, the importance of each service and the performance rating for the quality of the services were plotted on a "performance versus importance" graph, shown on page 17. This section explains how to interpret the chart.

It is important to normalize the data in order to create a similar scale for both importance and performance. For this analysis we used a "z-score" to normalize the data. The z-score tells how many standard deviations a rating is from the average rating for all characteristics. A positive z-score means the rating is above average and a negative z-score means a rating that is below average. Of course, a larger z-score signifies a larger distance above or below the average.

On the example "performance versus importance" map on the next page, the horizontal axis represents the performance ratings with the center representing a "0" z-score — the average rating, since it is "0" standard deviations from the mean. The vertical axis represents the importance ratings with the center again representing a "0" z-score, or average, importance ratings. The two lines cross at the "0" z-score for both importance and performance ratings. Each attribute is then mapped on the graph using its importance rating and the performance rating for West Linn.

An explanation of how to interpret the graph follows:

- ▶ The upper-right quadrant is the ideal zone, the place organizations want the attributes of greatest importance to those they serve to be located. This quadrant contains characteristics that have positive z-scores (above-average) for both importance and performance.
- The upper-left quadrant is the "danger zone." This includes characteristics that have a positive z-score for importance but on which performance scores fall short of average and as a result generate a negative z-score for performance. Generally, organizations should concentrate resources on improving performance (or improving communication regarding performance) for characteristics that fall in this area.
- The lower-left quadrant is a neutral zone, containing characteristics that have negative zscores for both importance and performance. Since these items are of comparatively less importance to residents, their comparatively lower performance ratings are not as much of a concern.
- Finally, the lower-right quadrant indicates characteristics for which, if anything, more than enough effort has been made. This quadrant contains items that generate a negative z-score for importance, but on which an organization receives a positive (above-average) z-score for performance. Spending additional resources to enhance these issues further may squander resources that could be better spent alleviating any problems in the "danger zone."




Explanation of "performance versus importance" map

"GROWTH MANAGEMENT" IS IN THE DANGER ZONE, ALONG WITH STREET MAINTENANCE. OTHERWISE, WEST LINN'S PROFILE IS GOOD.

The "performance versus importance" map shows a generally favorable profile for West Linn's perceived quality of services, with most attributes clustered around the "ideal" axis. There are a couple areas of concern, however. Key points from the map analysis include:

- Strengths include schools, public safety, and parks. There are three service areas that generate performance ratings above the mean and that are also above average in importance to residents. As a result, all three schools, police/public safety, and parks are in the preferred upper-right quadrant. These are key areas of strength in eyes of West Linn residents. The library, meanwhile, is above-average in performance but just below average in importance, so if anything West Linn is perceived to be over-performing in the quality of library services.
- Growth management is the one major perceived weakness, with street maintenance on the borderline. Growth management is average in importance but below average in performance, putting this item in the danger zone. In addition, street maintenance is just inside the danger zone. This indicates that both these areas require additional efforts and/or better communication on the part of the City.
- Least important issues are lower in performance. The remaining characteristics that fall in the lower left-hand quadrant — recreational programs and economic development — are below average in performance, but also are not as important to residents.



West Linn "importance versus performance" map

MOST RESIDENTS GIVE POSITIVE RATINGS TO CITY MANAGEMENT.

Residents were read a series of statements about various aspects of West Linn's livability and government, and were asked to indicate their level of agreement with each statement. Responses to the statements will be analyzed by topic area.

Three of the statements relate to the management of the City. Residents were asked if they agree that:


- ✓ Management of the City of West Linn has improved over the past 3 years
- ✓ The City of West Linn makes efficient use of tax dollars
- ✓ The City of West Linn keeps it promises to voters

As shown the graphic, there is generally moderate agreement for each statement, indicating most residents hold fairly favorable attitudes toward City management. Agreement is strongest for *"management has improved,"* with half the sample either somewhat or strongly agreeing. For the other two attributes — *"efficient use of tax dollars"* and *"keeping promises"* — a plurality of residents "somewhat agree." For all three statements, disagreement ranges from 3 in 10 to 4 in 10 residents — while a minority, certainly a substantial portion of the population with less than positive perceptions of City management.

Although responses are generally similar across demographic segments, there is one key difference: longtime residents, as well as those without children at home, tend to be less positive toward City management than newer residents and parents of children under 18. For example, 26% of longtime residents strongly *dis*agree that the City makes efficient use of tax dollars, versus 13% of newer residents. For non-parents compared to parents, the differential is 25% to 15%. It is quite likely that West Linn's outstanding schools have a positive "spill-over" effect in parents' attitudes toward the City as a whole.

Response to statements about City management

Q: Now I am going to read you a series of statements. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement.



West Linn Community Attitudes Survey

RESIDENTS HAVE VERY POSITIVE PERCEPTIONS OF CITY STAFF.

The next two statements related to City staff. Residents were asked whether they agree or disagree that:


- ✓ City staff are knowledgeable
- ✓ City staff treat residents with respect

When it comes to City staff, the response is more positive than for management, with a strong majority agreeing either somewhat or strongly with each statement. Not surprisingly, since many have not had contact with the City, up to 2 in 10 residents say they *"don't know."* Only 15% of residents disagree with these statements, which suggests that most of the residents unhappy with City management do not place blame on City staff for any problems they perceive in the City.

Responses are very similar across all segments of the sample, with one positive exception. It is very good news that the segment of residents who have had contact with the City give staff particularly favorable ratings: 33% strongly agree that staff *"treat residents with respect"* and 22% strongly agree that *"staff are knowledgeable."*

Response to statements about City staff

Q: Now I am going to read you a series of statements. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement.



n=350

III. Future Directions

THERE IS STRONG AGREEMENT THE CITY SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR STAFFORD PLANNING.

Two of the attitudinal questions focused on issues related to the future of West Linn. Residents were asked whether they agree or disagree that:


- ✓ The City should engage in sustainable environmental practices
- ✓ If the Stafford area is brought inside the Urban Growth Boundary, the City of West Linn should be responsible for planning and regulating development in areas near the City

Both statements receive very strong support from residents. Details include:

Broad agreement on sustainability, among both young and old. Nearly 9 in 10 West Linn residents agree strongly or somewhat that the City should be environmentally sustainable. This strongly held "green" value is rapidly becoming the norm in communities around the metro area. For example, in a survey CDRI just conducted in Gresham, essentially the same percentage of residents agreed with a similar statement about sustainability.

Although younger residents, as one might predict, provide one of the highest "strongly agree" percentages (68%, versus 58% among those 35 to 54) there is also high agreement among older residents (62% of those 55 and older strongly agree). In addition, there is significantly higher agreement on sustainability among longtime residents compared to newer residents (65% versus 56% strongly agree). Pointing out accomplishments in sustainability may be an area the City can use to create a more favorable impression among newer residents.

Clear mandate for managing planning in the Stafford area. Three-quarters of West Linn residents agree strongly or somewhat that the City "should be responsible for planning and regulating development" if the Stafford area is brought inside the UGB. More educated residents are especially likely to support City planning in the Stafford area (55% strongly agree, versus 44% among those without a college degree). Otherwise, support is uniformly strong across all segments, including by gender, age, and past City contact. Only about 2 in 10 West Linn residents disagree with this approach, and just 5% have no opinion.



Support for sustainability, Stafford planning

Q: Now I am going to read you a series of statements. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

STREET REPAIR PROJECTS GET THE MOST SUPPORT, FOLLOWED BY TRAILS/PATHS. THERE IS LITTLE SUPPORT FOR AN AQUATICS CENTER.

Residents were read descriptions — including estimated costs — of several potential City projects, and asked to rate their level of support for each. A five-point scale was used, with a "1" meaning they are not supportive at all and a "5" meaning they are very supportive of the idea. The project descriptions, in order of overall support, include:

- ✓ Street repair & maintenance. Total cost would be about \$6 million and the cost to the average property taxpayer would be about \$54 per year
- Trails, pathways, & sidewalks. Total cost would be around \$5 million and the cost to the average property taxpayer would be about \$45 per year
- Parks & open spaces outside the urban growth boundary. Total acquisition cost would be around \$10 million. The cost to the average property taxpayer for acquisition and maintenance would be about \$100 per year
- An aquatics center. Total cost of construction would be around \$25 million. The cost to the average property taxpayer for construction and operation would be about \$250 per year

There is a very clear message from these results. West Linn residents are by far the most supportive of street maintenance, with an average rating of 3.8 and over one-third giving the highest possible rating. This is in keeping with the earlier finding on the "performance versus importance" map that street maintenance is an area residents consider of high importance and in need of more attention. Trails also draw broad support, with a 3.4 mean rating.

There is less support for new parks (2.7), and very little support for building an aquatics center (2.4 average, with 4 in 10 residents giving the lowest possible rating).

There are no major differences in ratings between demographic segments. Since all but 8 of the 350 respondents are registered voters, there is no meaningful difference between registered voters and the sample as a whole. Women, younger residents, and parents tend to be somewhat more supportive toward each of these ideas, but not by significant margins. In general, residents are quite consistent in their priorities: the basic amenity of street maintenance is key, while an aquatics center is not desired.


In 2006, a question with a slightly different list of proposed ideas garnered different results, with the aquatic center being one of the top three ideas. A *"\$3 monthly fee used for street/sidewalk maintenance"* was the next most supported idea.

Support for potential City projects

Q: Looking to the future, there are a number of projects the City of West Linn could undertake that would require voter approval of bond measures. Before any formal consideration takes place, the City Council wants to know the level of support in the community for the City undertaking a variety of types of projects. So while we realize you would need more information before making any decision, in general, please tell me how supportive you are of the City undertaking each of the following.

We will provide you information about the total cost for each project and the cost to an individual property taxpayer with a home that has an assessed value of \$250,000, the median assessed value for a home in West Linn.

For this question, please use a "1" to "5" scale. On the scale a "1" indicates you are not supportive at all and a "5" indicates you are very supportive.



n=350

IV. Civic Participation & Information Sources

MOST WEST LINN RESIDENTS FEEL THEY KNOW THEIR NEIGHBORS.

The last two attitudinal questions related to neighborhood issues. Residents were asked if they agree or disagree with the following statements:

- ✓ I know many of my neighbors and often greet them by name
- ✓ My neighborhood association plays an important role in the West Linn community

Details include:


Nearly all residents feel connected with their neighbors. How well people feel they know their neighbors is a simple yet essential quality-of-life measure, as well as being a significant factor in public safety. Clearly, West Linn is doing very well in terms of community connectedness, with 59% of residents strongly agreeing that they know their neighbors. As a point of comparison, in the survey recently conducted by CDRI with Tigard residents, 46% strongly agreed with this statement.

Responses are uniformly high across all segments of residents, including both men and women, newer and longtime residents. However, as is common in resident surveys, those with children (68% strongly agree, versus 51% of those without children) and those in the middle-age bracket (67% of those 35 to 54 strongly agree, versus 50% of older residents) are most likely to know their neighbors.

About half believe neighborhood associations play an important role. When it comes to the importance of neighborhood associations, residents are more divided. Just over half agree with this statement, while one-third disagree. There are several demographic differences on this issue. Women are significantly more likely than are men to believe that neighborhood associations play an important role in the community (28% versus 19% strongly agree). Longtime residents, not surprisingly, have stronger opinions on this topic, at both ends of the spectrum. Those who have lived in West Linn for 10 years or more are both more likely to strongly agree (17% versus 8%). Finally, it is interesting to note that those without a college degree are far more likely to believe their neighborhood association plays a significant role than are more educated residents (37% versus 18% strongly agree).

Knowing neighbors & importance of neighborhood associations

Q: Now I am going to read you a series of statements. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement.



West Linn Community Attitudes Survey

MOST WEST LINN RESIDENTS ARE ABLE TO NAME THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. ONE-QUARTER HAVE ATTENDED A MEETING.


When residents are asked if they know the name of their neighborhood association, nearly 7 in 10 are able to give a response, which is a higher level of awareness than is typically seen. For example, in CDRI's recent Gresham survey, 78% of residents were *not* able to name their neighborhood association. On the other hand, in the most recent survey conducted by CDRI in Lake Oswego, fewer than 1 in 10 residents were unable to name their neighborhood association. While not all communities have a strongly developed system of neighborhoods, clearly West Linn has a very good level of resident awareness of their neighborhood associations.

As shown on the table on page 27, West Linn residents who are aware of their neighborhood association's name mention a wide range of neighborhoods, with Hidden Springs (15%) and Willamette (11%) topping the list.

As a follow-up, those who named a neighborhood association were asked how many neighborhood association meetings, if any, they have attended in the last year. Responses are shown on the graphic below, based over the total sample — assuming that anyone who could not name their neighborhood association has not attended a meeting. While three-quarters of West Linn residents have not attended any meetings, the fact that one-quarter have attended a neighborhood meeting is actually quite impressive compared to most communities, although it is not quite at the level of participation seen in neighboring Lake Oswego (35%).

Neighborhood meeting attendance

Q: In the past year, approximately how many, if any, neighborhood association meetings have you attended?



Awareness of neighborhood association

Neighborhood association	Total n=350
Hidden Springs	15%
Willamette	11%
Bolton	8%
Sunset	7%
Rosemont Summit	6%
Tanner Basin	4%
Parker Crest	2%
Barrington Heights	2%
Robinwood	2%
Sunburst	1%
Haver Hills	1%
Hoodview	1%
Cascade Summit	1%
Marylhurst	1%
Other, less than 1%	9%
Don't know	31%

Q: Do you happen to know the name of your local neighborhood association?


MORE THAN HALF OF RESIDENTS HAVE COME IN CONTACT WITH CITY PERSONNEL OR ELECTED OFFICIALS.

When asked if they have had any contact with City of West Linn employees or elected officials, 52% say "yes." Again, this is a higher level of civic involvement than is typically seen in resident surveys. In the Gresham survey, 43% of residents said they have had contact with the City. As we have seen in other communities, West Linn residents most likely to have had contact with the City are those with a college degree (57%, versus 45% of those without a college degree).

Those who have had contact with the City were asked what type of City employee or official they had contact with. As shown on the table, responses include a variety of staff from different departments, primarily Public Works (21%) and police (21%), as well as general mentions of *"City Hall staff"* (23%). Two in ten residents have had contact with an elected official. West Linn residents are more likely to contact various City departments than are residents in Tigard, where police are by far the most common type of contact with the City.

There are few differences by demographic segments, other than that male residents are significantly more likely than females to have contacted the Planning Department (23% versus 4%). Also, though the difference is not quite statistically significant due to small sample size, it appears that residents without a college degree are more likely to have had contact with the police than are those with a college degree (29% versus 17%).

Contact with City employees or officials




Q: In the past year, have you personally had contact with any City of West Linn employees or elected officials?

Type of City employee contacted

Based on those who have had contact, n=151

Note: Multiple responses allowed



West linn tidings and the city's newsletter are key, though residents often draw upon several sources of information.


Respondents were read a list of information sources and asked from which ones they have received information about the City of West Linn

The local *Tidings* newspaper is the top source of information (68%), followed closely by the City's *Update* newsletter (66%). More than half mention word-of-mouth (56%) or the *Oregonian* (53%).

What stands out in comparison with other communities is the high level of mentions for sources such as word-of-mouth (56%, versus 40% in CDRI's most recent Lake Oswego survey) and the City's website, which at 32% receives the highest mentions for a City website of any community survey CDRI has conducted. In general, the level of mentions for all information sources tends to be higher than in other communities, underscoring the unusually high level of civic engagement among West Linn residents.

Information sources

Q: And in the last year, from which of the following sources have you received information specifically about the City of West Linn?



Note: multiple responses allowed


n=350

MOST RESIDENTS HAVE ATTENDED AT LEAST ONE COMMUNITY EVENT. OLD TIME FAIR IS MENTIONED MOST FREQUENTLY.

When asked if they have attended any West Linn community events, three-quarters of residents mention at least one event. Most commonly mentioned is the Old Time Fair (49%), followed by the Willamette Arts Festival (39%) and the Mary S. Young Arts Festival in the Forest (37%). There is little difference in event attendance by gender or length of residence. Parents with children at home, not surprisingly, are more likely to attend community events. For example, 58% have attended the Old Time Fair, compared to 42% among those without children; also, 84% of parents have attended at least one event, compared to 71% of those without children.

Community events attendance

Q: Which, if any, of the following local community events have you attended in the past year?



Note: multiple responses allowed

V. Demographics


MANY WEST LINN RESIDENTS ARE IN THEIR 40S.

The average age of respondents is 52 years. As everywhere else, the baby boom generation tends to dominate, and it is generally the case that older residents are more likely than younger ones to be at home and answer the phone for surveys. Also, it is difficult for pollsters to reach younger and more mobile segments of the population, an estimated 30% of whom no longer use a landline phone, resulting in a fairly small segment under the age of 35.

However, in West Linn there is a substantially larger number of residents under the age of 55 compared to other communities, resulting in a slightly lower average age. In the last Lake Oswego survey, for example, the average age was 54 and in Tigard the average age was 56. The difference in West Linn is primarily driven by higher numbers in the 35 to 54 age range and fewer in the 55 and older category.

Responses to this and all other demographic questions are very similar to the 2006 survey.

Age




Q: What is your age, please?

WEST LINN'S MIX OF LONGTIME AND NEWER RESIDENTS IS EVIDENT IN THE SAMPLE.

Exactly half of respondents are longtime residents who have lived in West Linn for 10 years or more while the other half are relative newcomers who have lived in the city for less than 10 years, including one-quarter who have lived in West Linn for less than five years. The average length of residence is 14 years, which is less than is typically seen in community surveys.

In the most recent Lake Oswego and Gresham community surveys, for example, the average length of residence was 17 years, while in Tigard it was 15 years.



Length of residence


n=350

Mean number of years have lived in West Linn

Q: How long have you lived in the City of West Linn?

NEARLY ALL WEST LINN RESIDENTS ARE HOMEOWNERS.

As expected, virtually all respondents say they own their home. The level of homeownership is highest among West Linn's older residents (96%, versus 84% among the small segment of those under 35) as well as among college graduates (97%, versus 89% among those without a college degree).



Homeownership


Q: Do you own or rent your current home?

NEARLY HALF OF WEST LINN HOUSEHOLDS HAVE CHILDREN AT HOME.

The reported presence of children in the home is the highest of any community survey CDRI has conducted. Just under half of respondents say they have children at home, including 8% who have 3 or more children. By comparison, in both the Lake Oswego and Gresham surveys, 7 in 10 households have no children.

Children in household


Q: How many, if any, children under the age of 18 live in your home?



n=350

NEARLY EVERYONE CLAIMS TO BE REGISTERED TO VOTE.

As we typically see in resident surveys, virtually all respondents say they are a registered voter. In the last Lake Oswego survey, for example, 94% said they are registered voters. Reponses are very similar across all demographic segments. This is likely higher than the actual level of voter registration, but the profile of West Linn residents is the same as the profile of likely voters — homeowners who are middle-aged and older.



Voter registration


Q: Are you a registered voter?

WEST LINN RESIDENTS TEND TO BE VERY WELL EDUCATED.

Finally, it will come as no surprise that West Linn residents tend to be extremely well-educated. The majority of West Linn residents either have post-graduate education (36%) or a college degree (29%). Only about 1 in 10 have a high school education or below. This high level of educational attainment is very similar to Lake Oswego.

Education

Q: And what is the last year of education you had the opportunity to complete?



CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The following provides Campbell DeLong Resources' conclusions and recommendations based on the current research as well as past experiences. This section is intended to provide both a summary of the key recommendations that grow directly from the research and to introduce our further judgments and recommendations based on a comparison of these findings with previous research.

1. WEST LINN RESIDENTS ARE GENERALLY VERY SATISFIED WITH THE HIGH QUALITY OF LIFE OFFERED BY THEIR COMMUNITY.

Well-educated families with children attending great schools are the backbone of a West Linn community that is generally pleased with their choice of place to live. Parents of school-aged children, who constitute an unusually high proportion of the City's population, have strongly positive perceptions of West Linn's schools, which in turn likely boosts their positive perceptions of City government and the community as a whole. Among nearly all residents, satisfaction with City services is good and perceptions of City staff, in particular, are quite positive. Also, many residents perceive a recent improvement in the quality of City management — half agree at least somewhat that City management has improved in the last three years.

West Linn also can boast of unusually high levels of civic engagement, including the highest levels we have seen for the percentage of residents visiting the City website (32%) and having had contact with City officials and/or staff (52%). The local newspaper and the City newsletter are mentioned by a majority of residents as sources of information. In addition, there is clearly a high level of cohesion and connectedness among residents, including very high mentions for word-of-mouth as a source of information for what is happening in the community, as well as 6 in 10 residents strongly agreeing that they know their neighbors by name. And finally, there is a fairly high level of awareness of and participation in West Linn's neighborhood associations.

2. THE ONE MAJOR CONCERN IS GROWTH AND HOW IT WILL AFFECT FUTURE QUALITY OF LIFE.

It should come as no surprise that growth continues to be the main concern among West Linn residents. For while most of the buildable land within the current city limits of West Linn has already been developed, the residents of West Linn see both building and the potential for building all around their community. Based on the results of the survey, there is little question that many see this growth as having a potential negative impact on their community. Growth is mentioned as the key issue facing City Council and growth management is the one area where many residents clearly see the City falling short. As a result, many envision West Linn's quality of life declining in the future, primarily due to growth.

The good news is that West Linn's exceptionally well-engaged residents are already tuned in and will be receptive to learning what actions the City is taking to handle their concerns about growth in and near the City. By facing the issue and taking a proactive stance, the City has the opportunity not only to improve their performance rating of "growth management," but to also improve residents' perceptions of the City's performance in the key areas of "keeping its promises to voters" and "making efficient use of tax dollars."

3. THERE'S CLEAR SUPPORT FOR THE CITY TAKING CHARGE OF PLANNING IN THE STAFFORD AREA.

Consistent with residents' strong concerns about growth and livability, and their desire for the City to take the lead in "growth management," there is very strong support for the City taking responsibility for ensuring future development in the Stafford area is carefully managed. Half of residents strongly agree that "*If the Stafford area is brought inside the Urban Growth Boundary, the City of West Linn should be responsible for planning and regulating development in areas near the City,*" and another 2 in 10 agree somewhat.

Assuming that the process is well managed and that the efforts are well communicated, we would anticipate very strong support from residents for the City to step forward and assume active management responsibilities in the Stafford area.

4. SUPPORT EXISTS FOR A BOND MEASURE FOR STREET REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE.

We looked at the level of support for four possible projects that would require voter approval of bond measures. Of the four, we believe that only one currently has the level of support from the community that is necessary to approve a bond measure — street repair and maintenance. Of registered voters, 36% provide a top rating of "5" indicating they are very supportive of a bond measure to fund street repair and maintenance and another 29% give a rating of "4." Only 17% provide a rating at the bottom on the scale. This finding is very much in keeping with the relatively high importance residents place on street maintenance and relatively low performance rating for the City's effort's so far to address this concern.

It may also be possible to pass a bond measure for one other project — pathways and trails. However, we think this would only be true if there was substantial community support reflected in any campaign for passage of a bond measure. Only 30% of voters give pathways and trials a "5" and another 23% a "4." In our experience, this is not a sufficient level of support for passage of a bond measure.

Although a previous survey indicated a positive reaction to the concept of an aquatics center, when the actual cost is taken into consideration, support declines to the point that

we do not believe it would be possible, at this time, to gain voter support for the bond measure required to build such a facility. Only one-quarter of voters provide a rating that indicates they are likely to support such a bond measure.

Neither, at this time, do we believe there is sufficient support for purchasing additional land for parks or open spaces. While we have seen substantial support in other communities for this type of bond measure, only about one-third of voters in West Linn provide a "5" or "4" rating, indicating there is a good chance they would support a bond measure for purchasing land for parks and open spaces.

APPENDIX

Methods

RESEARCH GOAL AND OBJECTIVES

The goal of West Linn's Community Attitudes Survey is to gather information among West Linn residents that will help City officials and staff better understand resident attitudes and opinions.

Specific objectives of the research include:

- Assess levels of satisfaction with City services.
- Determine citizen perception of, and issues surrounding, the quality of life in the city.
- Identify emerging community issues
- Use a statistically valid survey that can be used in the future to measure changes and trends in resident attitudes.

STUDY DESIGN

A telephone survey methodology was used to interview a random sample of 350 residents of the City of West Linn. Previous studies conducted in 2000 and 2006 were used as a starting point for a redesigned survey questionnaire. Although due to the different question wording, data are not directly comparable, key findings were compared where possible. Going forward, the new survey questionnaire can be re-used in future iterations of the research, with updates and refinements over time as the City's information needs change or new issues arise.

When reviewing the research results, remember the survey is *household-based*. The data reflect a random sample of respondents by household — regardless of the size of the household, only one person per sampled household was interviewed. Compared to a theoretically perfect random sample of a population, this methodology can result in an under-representation of the opinions of adults who live in homes where there is a higher-than-average number of adults.

INTERVIEWING

All interviewing took place using a computer-aided telephone system (CATI) at Campbell DeLong Resources, Inc.'s strategic partner, Universal Survey Center, a data collection firm. Interviewing began January 18, 2008 and concluded on January 27, 2008.

SAMPLE FRAME

CDRI designed the sample by identifying the residential prefixes located in the 97068 ZIP code. Once the appropriate residential prefixes were identified, a sample of residential household phone numbers was created by randomly generating the final four numbers and these households were contacted to participate in the survey.

RESPONDENT CRITERIA

The respondent criteria are relatively simple. All respondents are:

- Residents of West Linn's 97068 ZIP code area.
- Self-identified as residents living within the city limits of West Linn.
- Age 18 or older.
- Does not work for the City of West Linn or for a market research organization.

In addition, a 50/50 male/female quota was maintained.

SAMPLE SIZE/RELIABILITY

Interviews were completed with a random sample of 350 residents of West Linn. This is a typical sample size for community surveys. The worst-case theoretic reliability for a sample of 350 is \pm 5.2%. This "*worst-case reliability*" figure is based on the following assumptions:

- **The sample is drawn from a large population universe**, which is the case for West Linn.
- ▶ The reliability is calculated at the 95% confidence level. This means that if a large number of samples of 350 were taken, in 95% of the samples the survey results will not vary from the mean sample results by more than ±5.2%.
- The calculation applies to a dichotomous variable with results distributed 50/50. An example of this would be a question with two possible answers yes or no where half say "yes" and half say "no." As the distribution moves away from 50/50, the reliability improves.
- Reliability for subsamples will depend on the sample size and the distribution of the response. As could be expected, as the sample size decreases, the worst-case reliability figure increases. For example, the worst-case reliability of the sample of 175 women is ±7.4%.

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

The questionnaire was designed by Campbell DeLong Resources, Inc. based on input from City staff. Several question areas were retained from the 2006 Community Survey conducted by Riley Research Associates. Questions were redesigned to meet the City's current information needs.

A copy of the questionnaire is included in this Appendix.

COMPUTER PROCESSING

A cross-tabulation was used to sort the data into a total of 17 unique segments. The following is a list of the segments provided in the printout, along with the number of respondents in each segment.

Printout One: Demographic Segments

	Tot	tal
►	Ge	nder
	•	Male 175
	•	Female
	Ler	ngth of residence in West Linn
	•	Less than 10 years 171
	•	Ten years or more 175
	Ag	e
	•	18 to 34
	•	35 to 54
	•	55+
	Ch	ildren under 18 living at home
	•	No children
	•	Has child(ren)
►	Но	meownership
	•	Owns home
	•	Rents 17
	Ed	ucation
	•	No college degree
	•	College graduate or above

Vo	ting status	
•	Registered voter	336
•	Not registered to vote	8
Со	ntact with City of West Linn employee or elected official in past year	
•	Has had contact	182
•	No contact	163

Questionnaire

CITY OF WEST LINN

2008 COMMUNITY ATTITUDES SURVEY

765

DATE	
PHONE NUMBER	
RESPONDENT'S NAME	
INTERVIEWER'S INITIALS	

CALL RECORD MATRIX					
No answer/busy/disconnect (5 attempts)					
Employment screen					
Under age of 18					
Gender quota					
Not a West Linn ZIP code					
Not a West Linn resident					

INTRODUCTION

(TO RANDOM HOUSEHOLD RESPONDENT:) Hello, I'm (**FIRST AND LAST NAME**) from Campbell DeLong Resources calling on behalf of the City of West Linn. We are conducting a brief study on community issues. We're not selling anything and all of your comments will be kept strictly confidential and anonymous. May I please speak with a (male/female) household member who is 18 years of age or older? IF NOT AVAILABLE, MAKE CALLBACK APPOINTMENT FOR FIRST POSSIBLE TIME.

(TO NEW HOUSEHOLD RESPONDENT): Hello, I'm (**FIRST AND LAST NAME**) from Campbell DeLong Resources calling on behalf of the City of West Linn. We are conducting a brief study on community issues. We're not selling anything and all of your comments will be kept strictly confidential and anonymous.

IF NECESSARY: The survey typically takes no more than 15 minutes to complete. Is now a convenient time for you? **MAKE CALLBACK APPOINTMENT FOR FIRST POSSIBLE TIME.**

IF NECESSARY: If you have any concerns, or want to confirm that the City is conducting this survey, please call Kirsten Wyatt, Assistant to the City Manager at the City of West Linn, at 503-722-3428.

1

SCREENING

1.	GENDER. RECORD. DO NOT ASK.	
	Male1	
	Female2	
	50/50 MALE/FEMALE QUOTA	
2a.	What is your ZIP Code? RECORD. DO NOT READ LIST.	
	970681	CONTINUE
	DO NOT READ: Any other ZIP Code8	POLITELY DISCONTINUE
	Don't know/refused9	POLITELY DISCONTINUE
2b.	And do you live inside or outside the city limits of West Linn?	
	Inside1	CONTINUE
	Outside2	POLITELY DISCONTINUE
	DO NOT READ: Don't know/refused9	POLITELY DISCONTINUE
3.	Do you, or does anyone in your household, work for the Cirresearch organization? RECORD.	ty of West Linn or for a market
	Yes1	POLITELY DISCONTINUE
	No2	CONTINUE

OVERALL SATISFACTION

As I said, we are conducting this survey for the City of West Linn. We will be asking you a number of questions that will help the City improve its services and plan for the future.

4a. First, overall, how do you rate West Linn as a place to live? Please use a 0 to 10 scale. A 0 is the bottom of the scale, a very poor place to live, and a 10 is the top of the scale, an excellent place to live. **RECORD.**

Very poor Excellent 01 2....... 3 4 5 6........ 7 8 9 10...... DK

4b. Next we want to get your impression of how, if at all, the quality of life will change in West Linn over the next decade. Ten years from now, what kind of a place do you think West Linn will be to live? Again use a 0 to 10 scale, where 0 is a very poor place and a 10 is an excellent place to live.

Very poor

Excellent

IF DON'T KNOW IN Q4A, SKIP TO Q5

4c. **IF 4B IS HIGHER THAN 4A, ASK:** Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will improve in the next ten years? **RECORD. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

IF 4B IS LOWER THAN 4A, ASK: Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will decrease in the next ten years? **RECORD. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

IF 4B IS THE SAME AS 4A, ASK: Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will stay about the same over the next ten years? **RECORD. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

IF 4B IS "DON'T KNOW," ASK: Why are you unsure what will happen to the quality of life in West Linn over the next ten years? **RECORD. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

5. Now I want you to rate the importance a number of services that may impact where you decide to live. Again I want you to use a "0" to "10" scale. On the scale, a "0" means of no importance — it makes little or no difference to you how good this service is when you are deciding where to live. A "10" is means extremely important — you would not choose to live here if you did not believe the quality of this service is very high. How about...READ & RANDOMIZE LIST.

	Not important	Highly important
a.	Growth management0123456	678910DK
b.	Street maintenance 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	678910DK
C.	Library services 0 123 4 56	678910DK
d.	Parks 4 5 6	678910DK
e.	Recreational	
	programs 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	578910DK
f.	Economic development0123456	578910DK
g.	Police & public safety	
	services 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	578910DK
h.	Schools 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	678910DK

Final

6. Now I want you to rate the quality of these same services as provided to the community of West Linn. Again, use a 0 to 10 scale. On the scale, a "0" indicates you believe the quality of this service in West Linn is poor. A "10" means excellent — the quality of the service in West Linn is very high. How about... READ LIST IN SAME ORDER AS Q5.

Poor

Excellent

a.	Growth management	. 0	. 1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	.DK
b.	Street maintenance	. 0	. 1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	.DK
C.	Library services	. 0	. 1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	. DK
d.	Parks	. 0	. 1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	. DK
e.	Recreational programs	. 0	.1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	. DK
f.	Economic development	. 0	.1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	. DK
g.	Police & public safety services	.0	.1	.2	.3	4	. 5	.6	.7	.8	9	10	. DK
h.	Schools	. 0	. 1	.2	.3	4	. 5	. 6	.7	.8	9	10	. DK

7. Now I am going to read you a series of statements. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement. **READ AND RANDOMIZE LIST.**

AS APPROPRIATE ASK: Would you say you agree or disagree that (**STATEMENT**)? Do you agree (disagree) somewhat, or strongly with this statement?

		Disagree		Agree		
		Stg.	SW	SW	Stg.	DK
a.	If the Stafford area is brought inside the Urban Growth Boundary, the City of Wes Linn should be responsible for planning and regulating development in areas near the City		2	3	4	9
b.	The City of West Linn makes efficient use of tax dollars		2	3	4	9
C.	The City of West Linn keeps it promises to voters	1	2	3	4	9
d.	The City should engage in sustainable environmental practices	1	2	3	4	9
e.	I know many of my neighbors and often greet them by name	1	2	3	4	9
f.	City staff are knowledgeable	1	2	3	4	9
g.	City staff treat residents with respect	1	2	3	4	9
h.	My neighborhood association plays an important role in the West Linn community	1	2	3	4	9
i.	Management of the City of West Linn has improved over the past 3 years		2	3	4	9

8. Looking to the future, there are a number of projects the City of West Linn could undertake that would require voter approval of bond measures. Before any formal consideration takes place, the City Council wants to know the level of support in the community for the City undertaking a variety of types of projects. So while we realize you would need more information before making any decision, in general, please tell me how supportive you are of the City undertaking each of the following.

We will provide you information about the total cost for each project and the cost to an individual property taxpayer with a home that has an assessed value of \$250,000, the median assessed value for a home in West Linn.

For this question, please use a "1" to "5" scale. On the scale a "1" indicates you are not supportive at all and a "5" indicates you are very supportive. **RANDOMIZE ORDER. RECORD.**

AS NECESSARY: All individual property tax estimates are for a home with an assessed value of \$250,000 — the median assessed value for a home in West Linn.

AS NECESSARY: Remember, assessed value is not the same as market value.

		Not supportive	Very supportive
a.	An aquatics center. Total cos of construction would be aroun \$25 million. The cost to the average property taxpayer for construction and operation would be about \$250 per year	nd	4 5 DK
b.	Parks & open spaces outside the urban growth boundary Total acquisition cost would be around \$10 million. The cost to the average property taxpayer for acquisition and maintenance would be abou \$100 per year	3 3 4 1 1	4 5 DK
c.	Trails, pathways, & sidewalks Total cost would be around \$5 million and the cost to the average property taxpaye would be about \$45 per year.	5 9 r	4 5 DK
d.	Street repair & maintenance Total cost would be about \$6 million and the cost to the average property taxpaye would be about \$54 per year.	S e r	4 5 DK

CIVIC PARTICIPATION & INFORMATION SOURCES

9a. In the past year, have you personally had contact with any City of West Linn employees or elected officials? **RECORD.**

Yes, had contact 1	ASK Q9B
No, have not had contact 2	SKIP TO Q10
Do NOT READ: Don't know/remember9	SKIP TO Q10

9b. IF YES IN Q9A: What type of City employee or official did you have contact with? DO NOT READ LIST. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.

Police	1
Fire	2
Emergency medical	3
City Hall staff	4
Elected officials - Mayor or City Council member	5
Code enforcement (nuisance complaints)	6
Municipal court	7
Parks staff	8
Public Works staff	9
Other, specify	
Don't know/don't remember	

10. And in the last year, from which of the following sources have you received information specifically about the City of West Linn? **READ AND ROTATE LIST. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

The Oregonian1	
City of West Linn website	
Update, the City newsletter	
Public access television 4	
Local television news5	
Radio6	
Word-of-mouth7	
West Linn Tidings8	
Neighborhood association9	
Emails from the City 10)
DO NOT READ: Other, specify	
DO NOT READ: Don't know)

11. Which, if any, of the following local community events have you attended in the past year? **READ & RANDOMIZE LIST. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

LockFest	1
Mary S. Young Arts Festival in the Forest	2
Old Time Fair	3
Tanner Creek Concert Series	4
Willamette Arts Festival	5
Willamette Park Concert Series	6
None of the above	98
Don't know	99

DEMOGRAPHICS

Now we have just a few more questions to help us classify your answers.

12a.Do you happen to know the name of your local neighborhood association?	DO NOT READ
LIST. RECORD.	

BHT	1
Bolton	2
Hidden Springs	3
Marylhurst	4
Parker Crest	5
Robinwood	6
Rosemont Summit	7
Skyline Ridge	8
Sunset	9
Tanner Basin	10
Willamette	11
Other, specify	
DO NOT READ: Don't know	

IF ANY NAME PROVIDED, ASK 12B. IF DON'T KNOW, SKIP TO Q13

12b.In the past year, approximately how many, if any, neighborhood association meetings have you attended?

	NUMBER OF MEETINGS
None	0
Don't know	

13. How long have you lived in the City of West Linn? **RECORD IN YEARS.**

	YEARS
Less than 1 year	
Refused	

- 15. How many, if any, children under the age of 18 live in your home?

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN
None	0
DO NOT READ: Don't know/refused	

16. Are you a registered voter?

Yes	. 1
No	. 2
Refused/Don't know	. 3

17. What is your age, please? **RECORD**.

YEARS

18. And what is the last year of education you had the opportunity to complete? **RECORD. READ** LIST ONLY IF NECESSARY.

Less than 12th grade (not a high school graduate)	. 1
High school graduate	. 2
Some college or other post-secondary education	. 3
College graduate	. 4
Some post-graduate	. 5
Master's degree or higher	. 6
Refused	. 9

19. And finally, what do you believe is the one most important issue West Linn's City Council needs to address over the next year? **RECORD. MULTIPLES ACCEPTED.**

Thank you very much for participating in this survey. Your responses will be combined with those of other residents and will provide valuable input for the City of West Linn.

Data Printout

1. Gender:

		Geno	der	Residency			Age		Child	ren?	Own home		Education		Voter		Cont	tact
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT	NO DGREE	COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER I	NOT REG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Male	175 50%	175 100%	0 80	89 52%	85 49%	11 58%	82 49%	72 51%	92 49%	80 51%	165 51%	8 47%	58 50%	115 51%	171 51%	2 25%	97 53%	
Female	175 50%	0 %0	175 100%	82 48%	90 51%	8 42%	84 51%	69 49%	94 51%	78 49%	161 49%	9 53%	57 50%	111 49%	165 49%	6 75%	85 47%	

4a. First, overall, how do you rate West Linn as a place to live? Please use a 0 to 10 scale. A 0 is the bottom of the scale, a very poor place to live, and a 10 is the top of the scale, an excellent place to live.

		Gender Residency UNDER 10YRS			Age		Child:		Own h	ome	Education		Voter G REG'D NOT		Contact CON NO			
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H		TACT (
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Very poor	3	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	1	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	2%	%0	1%	6%	2%	0%	1%	80	1%	1%
1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	%0	1%	%0	1%	%0	1%	%0	%0	1%	0%	98	%0	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	0%	1%	%0	%0	1%	80	%0	1%	1%	%0	0%	%0	1%	%0	0%	80	1%	0%
3	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	1%	%0	1%	%0	0%	1%	%0	0%	1%	0%	%0	%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
4	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	1
	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	98	1%	0%	1%	११	1%	1%
5	6	3	3	2	4	1	1	3	5	1	6	0	4	2	5	1	3	3
	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	%0	3%	1%	1%	13%	2%	2%
6	18	7	11	7	11	2	8	5	11	7	18	0	3	15	17	1	16	2
	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	11%	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	%0	3%	7%	5%	13%	9%	1%
7	41	20	21	14	25	1	16	21	26	13	35	4	10	29	39	1	21	20
	12%	11%	12%	8%	14%	5%	10%	15%	14%	8%	11%	24%	9%	13%	12%	13%	12%	12%
8	134	79	55	72	62	9	59	59	75	59	127	6	44	89	131	1	68	63
	38%	45%	31%	42%	35%	47%	36%	42%	40%	37%	39%	35%	38%	39%	39%	13%	37%	39%
9	61	34	27	33	27	4	35	20	21	38	58	1	17	41	55	4	32	27
	17%	19%	15%	19%	15%	21%	21%	14%	11%	24%	18%	6%	15%	18%	16%	50%	18%	17%
10, Excellent	81	29	52	40	40	2	42	30	41	38	75	4	33	45	80	0	36	45
	23%	17%	30%	23%	23%	11%	25%	21%	22%	24%	23%	24%	29%	20%	24%	%0	20%	28%
Don't know	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	6%	%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Mean	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.1	8.0	8.4	8.2	8.0	8.5	8.2	7.8	8.3	8.2	8.2	7.8	8.1	8.4
SD	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.5	2.4	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5

4b. Next we want to get your impression of how, if at all, the quality of life will change in West Linn over the next decade. Ten years from now, what kind of a place do you think West Linn will be to live? Again use a 0 to 10 scale, where 0 is a very poor place and a 10 is an excellent place to live.

		Gender Residency UNDER 10YRS			Age		Children? Own home NO HAS			ome	Educa NO (tion COLEG	Vote REG'D		Contact CON NO			
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	REG'D	TACT (CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Very poor	3	1	2	1	2	1	1	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	1%
1	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0
	1%	80	1%	80	1%	0%	1%	%0	1%	1%	0%	6%	1%	0%	1%	%0	1%	0%
2	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	%0	1%	%0	1%	0%	1%	%0	1%	0%	0%	%0	0%	0%	0%	%0	1%	%0
3	8	5	3	3	5	1	2	4	5	3	7	1	6	2	8	0	5	3
	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	5%	1%	2%	80	3%	2%
4	11	3	8	2	9	0	6	4	7	4	11	0	2	9	10	1	8	3
	3%	2%	5%	1%	5%	%0	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	%0	2%	4%	3%	13%	4%	2%
5	36	14	22	14	21	1	11	21	26	9	33	2	20	15	34	1	20	16
	10%	8%	13%	8%	12%	5%	7%	15%	14%	6%	10%	12%	17%	7%	10%	13%	11%	10%
6	35	21	14	13	22	1	17	15	22	13	34	1	12	22	34	0	15	18
	10%	12%	8%	8%	13%	5%	10%	11%	12%	8%	10%	6%	10%	10%	10%	%0	8%	11%
7	63	31	32	28	33	3	31	25	32	29	58	3	19	42	60	2	38	24
	18%	18%	18%	16%	19%	16%	19%	18%	17%	18%	18%	18%	17%	19%	18%	25%	21%	15%
8	86	49	37	50	36	6	37	38	45	40	81	4	22	63	85	1	41	45
	25%	28%	21%	29%	21%	32%	22%	27%	24%	25%	25%	24%	19%	28%	25%	13%	23%	28%
9	43	26	17	25	18	4	25	13	18	25	40	2	7	34	39	2	23	18
	12%	15%	10%	15%	10%	21%	15%	9%	10%	16%	12%	12%	6%	15%	12%	25%	13%	11%
10, Excellent	48	19	29	28	19	2	25	16	21	25	44	2	20	26	47	0	19	29
	14%	11%	17%	16%	11%	11%	15%	11%	11%	16%	13%	12%	17%	12%	14%	%0	10%	18%
Don't know	14	6	8	7	7	0	9	5	7	7	13	1	5	9	13	1	8	6
	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	%0	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	13%	4%	4%
Mean	7.3	7.4	7.2	7.7	6.9	7.3	7.5	7.2	7.1	7.6	7.3	6.9	7.0	7.4	7.3	7.0	7.1	7.6
SD	2.0	1.8	2.2	1.8	2.1	2.5	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.2	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.8

4c-1. Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will improve in the next ten years? (Based on those who rate the quality of life in West Linn in 10 years higher than today)

		Gender		Residency		Age			Children?		Own home		Education		Voter		Contact	
	TOTAL	MALE FEMAL		UNDER 10YRS 10YRS OR +		18-34 35-54 55+			NO HAS CHILD CHILD		OWN RENT		NO COLEG DGREE GRAD		REG'D NOT VOTER REG'D		CON NO TACT CONTC	
Total	28	17	11	19	9	5	11	10	17	11	24	4	9	19	26	1	14	14
City trying to improve	7	4	3	5	2	1	2	4	6	1	7	0	4	3	7	0	4	3
	25%	24%	27%	26%	22%	20%	18%	40%	35%	9%	29%	%0	44%	16%	27%	%0	29%	21%
More services	4	4	0	3	1	0	3	1	1	3	4	0	1	3	4	0	2	2
	14%	24%	80	16%	11%	0%	27%	10%	6%	27%	17%	%0	11%	16%	15%	१९	14%	14%
Good schools	4	0	4	3	1	1	0	3	3	1	4	0	2	2	4	0	2	2
	14%	80	36%	16%	11%	20%	%0	308	18%	9%	17%	%0	22%	11%	15%	%0	14%	14%
Newer/ more homes	4	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	4	0	1	3	3	0	2	2
	14%	18%	9%	11%	22%	20%	9%	20%	12%	18%	17%	80	11%	16%	12%	%0	14%	14%
Becoming more upscale	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	0	1	2
	11%	6%	18%	11%	11%	40%	%0	80	12%	9%	4%	50%	22%	5%	12%	%0	7%	14%
Better sts/ sidewalks	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	1
	7%	80	18%	5%	11%	80	18%	80	6%	9%	8%	80	11%	5%	8%	%0	7%	7%
More environ. programs	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	4%	%0	9%	80	11%	%0	%0	10%	6%	%0	4%	80	11%	80	4%	%0	7%	%
Location	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	4%	6%	80	5%	%0	80	9%	%0	6%	%0	4%	%0	%0	5%	4%	%0	7%	0%
Stable community	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	4%	0%	9%	5%	80	%0	%0	10%	6%	%0	4%	80	%0	5%	4%	%0	%0	7%
More afford. housing	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
	4%	6%	%0	5%	%0	80	9%	%0	80	9%	80	25%	%0	5%	4%	%0	%0	7%
More parks	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	4%	6%	%0	%0	11%	%0	%0	10%	6%	%0	4%	80	80	5%	4%	%0	%0	7%
Still have good people	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	4%	6%	%0	5%	%0	%0	%0	%0	0%	9%	4%	80	11%	%0	4%	%0	%0	7%
City will listen to citizens more	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	4%	6%	%0	5%	%0	%0	9%	%0	%0	9%	4%	0%	%0	5%	4%	%0	0%	7%
Will realize real needs of commun.	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
	4%	6%	80	5%	%0	%0	%0	10%	6%	0%	%0	25%	%0	5%	4%	%0	0%	7%
More jobs	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	4%	6%	80	%0	11%	80	9%	%0	80	9%	4%	%0	%0	5%	4%	%0	%0	7%
Don't know	3	1	2	1	2	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	1	2	2	1	3	0
	11%	6%	18%	5%	22%	0%	18%	10%	12%	9%	13%	%0	11%	11%	8%	100%	21%	0%

4c-2. Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will decrease in the next ten years? (Based on those who rate the quality of life in West Linn in 10 years lower than today)

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	iome	Educat		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	164	71	93	72	90	9	76	68	89	72	156	6	62	98	158	3	88	73
Too much growth	81	40	41	31	49	4	44	30	44	35	77	3	36	44	79	1	43	38
	49%	56%	44%	43%	54%	44%	58%	44%	49%	49%	49%	50%	58%	45%	50%	33%	49%	52%
Overbuilding	44	12	32	23	21	2	22	19	21	23	41	3	15	29	43	1	20	22
	27%	17%	34%	32%	23%	22%	29%	28%	24%	32%	26%	50%	24%	30%	27%	33%	23%	30%
Traffic/ roads	25	11	14	9	16	0	15	9	13	12	25	0	7	18	24	1	18	7
	15%	15%	15%	13%	18%	80	20%	13%	15%	17%	16%	%0	11%	18%	15%	33%	20%	10%
Increased taxes	10	6	4	3	6	0	5	3	5	4	9	0	3	6	9	0	7	3
	6%	88	4%	4%	7%	%0	7%	4%	6%	6%	6%	%0	5%	68	6%	१९०	8%	4%
Too expensive	5	1	4	1	4	0	1	4	5	0	4	1	2	3	5	0	4	1
	3%	1%	4%	1%	4%	0%	1%	6%	6%	80	3%	17%	3%	3%	3%	%0	5%	1%
Need better city gov't	5	5	0	3	2	0	2	2	3	2	5	0	2	3	5	0	3	2
	3%	7%	80	4%	2%	0%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	%0	3%	3%	3%	%0	3%	3%
Decrease in school quality	5	1	4	1	4	0	4	0	1	4	4	1	2	3	5	0	3	2
	3%	1%	4%	1%	4%	%	5%	%0	1%	6%	3%	17%	3%	3%	3%	%	3%	3%
City can't keep up w/growth	5	0	5	4	1	1	1	2	2	3	5	0	1	4	5	0	4	0
	3%	80	5%	6%	1%	11%	1%	3%	2%	4%	3%	80	2%	4%	3%	%0	5%	0%
Poor city-resident communications	3	0	3	2	1	0	2	1	1	2	3	0	0	3	2	1	3	0
	2%	%0	3%	3%	1%	%0	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	80	%0	3%	1%	33%	3%	0%
Increasing utility rates	3	1	2	2	1	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	2	1
	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	%0	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	80	5%	0%	2%	%0	2%	1%
Decreasing socio-economic levels	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	0	0	3	3	0	3	0
	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	11%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	%0	0%	3%	2%	%0	3%	0%
Water supply concerns	3	0	3	0	3	0	2	1	0	3	3	0	2	1	2	1	1	2
	2%	80	3%	80	3%	%0	3%	1%	%0	4%	2%	80	3%	1%	1%	33%	1%	3%
Not enough local svcs.	3	1	2	2	1	0	2	1	0	3	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	80	3%	1%	80	4%	2%	%0	2%	2%	2%	%0	2%	1%
Poor city planning	2	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	2	0
	1%	3%	%0	1%	1%	11%	%0	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Other	9	5	4	3	6	0	0	7	8	1	9	0	2	5	8	0	6	3
	5%	7%	4%	4%	7왕	0%	%0	10%	9%	1%	6%	80	3%	5%	5%	१९०	7%	4%
Don't know	5	3	2	2	3	0	2	3	4	1	5	0	2	3	5	0	0	5
	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	0%	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	80	3%	3%	3%	%0	%0	7%

4c-3. Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will stay about the same over the next ten years?

(Based on those who rate the quality of life in West Linn in 10 years the same as today)

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	ome	Educat		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F	NOT EG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	137	76	61	70	65	5	67	55	68	66	127	5	36	96	132	3	69	66
Things not going to change	22	14	8	11	11	1	10	11	10	12	20	1	6	16	22	0	17	5
	16%	18%	13%	16%	17%	20%	15%	20%	15%	18%	16%	20%	17%	17%	17%	%0	25%	8%
Same people will be here	17	11	6	8	9	0	9	7	8	9	15	2	4	13	17	0	8	9
	12%	14%	10%	11%	14%	0%	13%	13%	12%	14%	12%	40%	11%	14%	13%	0%	12%	14%
Good schools	17	9	8	12	4	1	8	6	4	11	16	0	2	14	17	0	10	7
	12%	12%	13%	17%	6%	20%	12%	11%	6%	17%	13%	%0	6%	15%	13%	%0	14%	11%
Good place to live	14	7	7	7	7	1	6	6	4	9	14	0	4	10	14	0	6	8
	10%	9%	11%	10%	11%	20%	98	11%	6%	14%	11%	%0	11%	10%	11%	१९०	9%	12%
Becoming more upscale	11	5	6	9	2	1	8	2	5	6	9	2	4	7	11	0	6	5
	8%	7%	10%	13%	3%	20%	12%	4%	7%	9%	7%	40%	11%	7%	8%	%0	9%	8%
Limited growth possibilities	8	5	3	3	4	0	4	2	3	4	7	0	1	5	6	1	2	6
	6%	7%	5%	4%	6%	%0	6%	4%	4%	6%	6%	%0	3%	5%	5%	33%	3%	9%
Citizen participation	7	2	5	5	2	0	3	3	3	4	7	0	1	5	7	0	1	6
	5%	3%	8%	7%	3%	80	4%	5%	4%	6%	6%	80	3%	5%	5%	%0	1%	9%
Can maintain qual of life here	6	2	4	1	5	0	5	1	2	4	6	0	0	6	6	0	4	2
	4%	3%	7%	1%	8%	%0	7%	2%	3%	6%	5%	%0	%0	68	5%	१९०	6%	3%
Good city gov't	6	4	2	3	3	0	3	3	3	3	5	0	3	3	6	0	2	4
	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	%0	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	%0	8%	3%	5%	१९०	3%	6%
Good city planning	5	4	1	1	4	0	1	3	4	1	5	0	1	4	5	0	3	2
	4%	5%	2%	1%	6%	%0	1%	5%	6%	2%	4%	%0	3%	4%	4%	80	4%	3%
Limited business/ industry	5	2	3	3	2	0	2	3	4	1	5	0	2	3	5	0	1	4
	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	%0	3%	5%	6%	2%	4%	%0	6%	3%	4%	१९०	1%	6%
Parks/ rec opportunities	4	3	1	2	2	0	1	3	2	2	4	0	1	3	4	0	3	1
	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	%0	1%	5%	3%	3%	3%	%0	3%	38	3%	%0	4%	2%
More housing	3	2	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	3	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	40%	1%	%0	%0	5%	2%	%0	3%	2%	2%	%0	3%	2%
Poor city gov't	3	2	1	0	3	0	2	0	2	1	3	0	0	3	3	0	2	1
	2%	3%	2%	%0	5%	%0	3%	%0	3%	2%	2%	%0	%	3%	2%	0%	3%	2%
City improvements	3	1	2	1	2	0	1	1	2	1	3	0	1	2	2	1	1	1
	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	80	3%	2%	2%	33%	1%	2%
Services available	3	3	0	1	2	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	0	3	3	0	2	1
	2%	4%	%0	1%	3%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	%0	0%	3%	2%	%0	3%	2%

4c-3. Why do you think the quality of life in West Linn will stay about the same over the next ten years? (Cont.)

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS 0		18-34 3	5-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F	NOT EG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Quality continue to increase	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	1
	1%	3%	80	1%	2%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	2%	%0	6%	%0	2%	80	1%	2%
Bedroom community	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%	0%	2%	80	6%	%0	2%	0%	3%	0%
Other	13	5	8	7	5	0	6	5	5	7	12	0	1	10	13	0	6	6
	9%	7%	13%	10%	8%	0%	9%	9%	7%	11%	9%	%0	3%	10%	10%	80	9%	9%
Don't know	11	8	3	7	4	0	4	5	7	4	10	0	2	8	9	1	3	8
	8%	11%	5%	10%	6%	0%	6%	9%	10%	6%	8%	%0	6%	88	7%	33%	4%	12%

4c-4. Why are you unsure what will happen to the quality of life in West Linn over the next ten years?

(Based on those who say they don't know how to rate the quality of life in West Linn in 10 years)

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child		Own ho	ome	Educat		Vot		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE F	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	5-54	55+		HAS CHILD	OWN I	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER	NOT REG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	12	6	6	6	6	0	7	5	6	6	12	0	5	7	11	1	7	5
Don't know	7	4	3	2	5	0	4	3	3	4	7	0	4	3	7	0	5	2
	58%	67%	50%	33%	83%	0%	57%	60%	50%	67%	58%	%0	80%	43%	64%	0%	71%	40%
Don't know who leadership will be	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	1
	17%	17%	17%	33%	0%	0%	14%	20%	17%	17%	17%	%0	20%	14%	18%	0%	14%	20%
Increasing taxes	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	8%	0%	17%	%0	17%	0%	%0	20%	17%	%0	8%	80	%0	14%	9%	0%	%0	20%
Concerned about growth	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
	8%	0%	17%	17%	0%	0%	14%	80	17%	0%	8%	%0	0%	14%	%	100%	14%	80
Concerned for environment	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	8%	17%	0%	17%	0%	80	14%	80	80	17%	8%	%0	80	14%	9%	0%	%0	20%

5a. Now I want you to rate the importance a number of services that may impact where you decide to live. Again I want you to use a "0" to "10" scale. On the scale, a "0" means of no importance - it makes little or no difference to you how good this service is when you are deciding where to live. A "10" is means extremely important - you would not choose to live here if you did not believe the quality of this service is very high. How about... Growth management

		Gend	ler	Reside			Age		Child: NO	ren? HAS	Own ho	ome	Educa NO	tion COLEG	Vote REG'D	er NOT	Cont CON	act NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (OWN F	RENT		GRAD	VOTER F		TACT	
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	8	6	2	6	2	1	3	4	4	4	8	0	3	5	7	0	5	3
	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	%0	3%	2%	2%	१९०	3%	2%
1	5	3	2	0	5	0	0	2	5	0	4	1	2	3	5	0	4	1
	1%	2%	1%	%0	3%	0%	%0	1%	3%	%0	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	%0	2%	1%
2	7	1	6	4	2	0	3	2	4	2	5	0	1	3	5	0	1	5
	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	%0	1%	1%	1%	१९०	1%	3%
3	4	2	2	1	3	0	1	3	3	1	4	0	2	2	4	0	1	3
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	%0	2%	1%	1%	१९०	1%	2%
4	13	6	7	6	7	2	4	5	6	7	12	1	6	6	13	0	5	8
	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	11%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	%0	3%	5%
5	19	8	11	7	11	1	5	11	12	6	18	0	6	12	17	1	10	9
	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	3%	8%	6%	4%	6%	%0	5%	5%	5%	13%	5%	6%
6	20	15	5	14	6	1	11	8	9	11	16	4	6	14	19	1	12	8
	6%	9%	3%	8%	3%	5%	7왕	6%	5%	7%	5%	24%	5%	6%	6%	13%	7%	5%
7	44	22	22	24	20	3	23	16	21	23	42	2	12	31	42	1	21	22
	13%	13%	13%	14%	11%	16%	14%	11%	11%	15%	13%	12%	10%	14%	13%	13%	12%	13%
8	64	34	30	32	31	4	30	25	36	27	59	4	20	43	62	2	28	34
	18%	19%	17%	19%	18%	21%	18%	18%	19%	17%	18%	24%	17%	19%	18%	25%	15%	21%
9	50	31	19	21	28	2	27	18	24	25	47	1	15	34	49	0	24	26
	14%	18%	11%	12%	16%	11%	16%	13%	13%	16%	14%	6%	13%	15%	15%	%0	13%	16%
10, Highly important	111	45	66	53	58	4	58	44	59	50	108	3	38	72	108	3	70	40
	32%	26%	38%	31%	33%	21%	35%	31%	32%	32%	33%	18%	33%	32%	32%	388	38%	25%
Don't know	5	2	3	3	2	1	1	3	3	2	3	1	4	1	5	0	1	4
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	0%	1%	%0	1%	2%
Mean	7.8	7.6	7.9	7.7	7.8	7.2	8.1	7.6	7.7	7.9	7.8	7.1	7.7	7.9	7.8	8.0	7.9	7.6
SD	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.5	2.4

5b. Street maintenance

		Gen	der	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER					NO	HAS				COLEG	REG'D		CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	EG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0%	%0	1%	80	1%	80	%0	%0	1%	%0	0%	80	1%	%0	0%	%0	80	1%
2	5	1	4	2	2	0	1	1	4	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	1	4
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	6%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%
3	4	2	2	1	3	0	1	3	3	1	4	0	2	2	4	0	3	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	80	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	%0	2%	1%	1%	80	2%	1%
4	12	5	7	5	7	1	6	5	7	5	12	0	4	8	12	0	7	5
	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	%0	3%	4%	4%	80	4%	3%
5	24	14	10	10	14	3	11	9	12	12	24	0	4	19	21	1	12	12
	7%	8%	6%	6%	8%	16%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	0%	3%	8%	6%	13%	7%	7%
6	32	19	13	17	15	5	19	8	11	20	31	1	8	24	32	0	18	14
	9%	11%	7%	10%	9%	26%	11%	6%	6%	13%	10%	6%	7%	11%	10%	%0	10%	9%
7	76	42	34	38	36	5	35	29	38	36	70	3	20	52	74	1	44	29
	22%	24%	19%	22%	21%	26%	21%	21%	20%	23%	21%	18%	17%	23%	22%	13%	24%	18%
8	99	43	56	51	47	4	52	37	50	48	92	6	35	63	95	3	48	49
	28%	25%	32%	30%	27%	21%	31%	26%	27%	30%	28%	35%	30%	28%	28%	38%	26%	30%
9	42	26	16	22	20	1	19	20	27	14	40	2	15	27	41	1	20	22
	12%	15%	9%	13%	11%	5%	11%	14%	15%	9%	12%	12%	13%	12%	12%	13%	11%	13%
10, Highly important	54	23	31	25	29	0	22	28	32	22	49	4	23	30	52	2	29	25
	15%	13%	18%	15%	17%	%0	13%	20%	17%	14%	15%	24%	20%	13%	15%	25%	16%	15%
Don't know	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0%	%0	1%	%0	1%	%0	%0	1%	1%	%0	0%	%0	1%	%0	0%	%0	%0	1%
Mean	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.5	6.6	7.5	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.9	7.7	7.5	7.6	8.1	7.5	7.5
SD	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.9

5c. Library services

		Geno	ler	Reside	10YRS		Age		Child: NO	ren? HAS	Own h	ome	Educa NO	tion COLEG	Vote REG'D		Cont CON	act NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER H	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	5	3	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	3	5	0	2	3	4	0	3	2
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	%0	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%
1	3	3	0	3	0	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	1%	2%	0%	2%	%0	%0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
2	9	7	2	4	5	2	4	1	5	4	5	3	6	2	8	0	2	7
	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	11%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	18%	5%	1%	2%	%0	1%	4%
3	11	6	5	4	7	0	7	3	6	5	11	0	4	6	10	0	8	3
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	80	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	%0	3%	3%	3%	0%	4%	2%
4	16	11	5	7	9	0	9	6	7	9	16	0	3	13	16	0	7	9
	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	%0	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	%0	3%	6%	5%	80	4%	6%
5	29	19	10	12	17	3	11	13	17	12	29	0	12	17	29	0	17	11
	8%	11%	6%	7%	10%	16%	7%	9%	9%	8%	9%	%0	10%	8%	9%	0%	9%	7%
6	29	16	13	16	11	3	11	11	17	10	26	1	7	20	26	1	12	17
	8%	9%	7%	9%	6%	16%	7%	8%	9%	6%	8%	6%	6%	9%	8%	13%	7%	10%
7	51	26	25	29	21	1	26	21	26	23	49	1	16	34	50	1	31	20
	15%	15%	14%	17%	12%	5%	16%	15%	14%	15%	15%	6%	14%	15%	15%	13%	17%	12%
8	81	41	40	39	42	3	43	32	42	38	77	3	23	57	80	1	36	45
	23%	23%	23%	23%	24%	16%	26%	23%	23%	24%	24%	18%	20%	25%	24%	13%	20%	28%
9	43	21	22	23	20	2	18	19	24	19	39	4	10	32	41	2	26	15
	12%	12%	13%	13%	11%	11%	11%	13%	13%	12%	12%	24%	9%	14%	12%	25%	14%	9%
10, Highly important	68	19	49	30	38	4	33	28	35	33	63	4	28	39	65	3	35	31
	19%	11%	28%	18%	22%	21%	20%	20%	19%	21%	19%	24%	24%	17%	19%	38%	19%	19%
Don't know	5	3	2	2	2	0	1	3	3	1	3	1	3	1	4	0	3	2
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	0%	1%	80	2%	1%
Mean	7.3	6.7	7.8	7.3	7.3	6.6	7.3	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.3	8.6	7.3	7.2
SD	2.3	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.4	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.9	2.6	2.2	2.3	1.5	2.3	2.3

5d. Parks

	TOTAL	Gend		Reside UNDER 1 10YRS	10YRS	18-34 3	Age	55+	Child: NO CHILD (HAS	Own h	ome RENT		tion COLEG GRAD	Vote REG'D VOTER F	NOT	Cont CON TACT	NO
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	7	2	5	0	7	0	0	6	7	0	7	0	4	3	7	0	4	3
	2%	1%	3%	0 %	4%	१९०	%0	4%	4%	0%	2%	%0	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%
1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	0%	1%	%0	80	1%	80	%0	1%	1%	0%	0%	%0	1%	%0	0%	0%	1%	0%
2	5	0	5	2	2	0	0	2	4	0	3	0	2	1	3	0	0	5
	1%	80	3%	1%	1%	%0	%0	1%	2%	%0	1%	%0	2%	0%	1%	80	%0	3%
3	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	0	2	1	3	0	3	0	2	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	2%	80	1%	6%	3%	%0	1%	0%	1%	1%
4	9	6	3	5	4	0	3	4	6	3	9	0	1	7	7	1	9	0
	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	0%	1%	3%	2%	13%	5%	0%
5	26	17	9	12	13	1	12	11	16	9	24	1	9	16	24	0	13	12
	7%	10%	5%	7%	7%	5%	7%	8%	9%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	%0	7%	7%
6	28	12	16	13	14	1	13	11	14	13	25	2	9	18	27	0	13	15
	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	5%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	12%	8%	8%	8%	%0	7%	9%
7	63	36	27	32	30	5	32	25	31	31	60	1	19	43	62	1	28	35
	18%	21%	15%	19%	17%	26%	19%	18%	17%	20%	18%	6%	17%	19%	18%	13%	15%	21%
8	97	52	45	41	56	5	44	39	54	41	91	6	30	66	95	2	54	40
	28%	30%	26%	24%	32%	26%	27%	28%	29%	26%	28%	35%	26%	29%	28%	25%	30%	25%
9	40	19	21	28	12	1	21	16	21	19	38	2	10	28	38	2	21	18
	11%	11%	12%	16%	7%	5%	13%	11%	11%	12%	12%	12%	9%	12%	11%	25%	12%	11%
10, Highly important	69	28	41	36	33	5	40	23	27	42	64	4	25	44	67	2	37	32
	20%	16%	23%	21%	19%	26%	24%	16%	15%	27%	20%	24%	22%	19%	20%	25%	20%	20%
Don't know	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	2
	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	%0	%0	1%	1%	१९	1%	%0	2%	%0	1%	0%	0%	1%
Mean	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.8	7.3	7.8	7.9	7.2	7.1	8.0	7.6	7.8	7.3	7.7	7.6	8.1	7.5	7.5
SD	2.1	1.9	2.3	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.6	2.4	2.4	1.6	2.1	1.9	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1

5e. Recreational programs

		Gend	ler	Resid			Age		Child: NO	ren? HAS	Own h	ome	Educa NO	tion COLEG	Vote REG'D		Cont CON	act NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	8	4	4	4	4	0	1	7	7	1	8	0	3	5	8	0	5	3
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	80	1%	5%	4%	1%	2%	%0	3%	2%	2%	%0	3%	2%
1	2	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1
	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	%0	1%	%0	1%	1%	0%	6%	1%	0%	1%	%0	1%	1%
2	8	6	2	4	3	1	2	1	4	3	5	1	2	4	6	0	4	4
	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%	2%	2%	%0	2%	2%
3	10	3	7	1	9	1	2	5	10	0	10	0	7	3	10	0	6	4
	3%	2%	4%	1%	5%	5%	1%	4%	5%	80	3%	%0	6%	1%	3%	१९०	3%	2%
4	18	12	6	7	11	1	7	9	11	7	17	1	7	11	18	0	11	7
	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	5%	4%	6%	6%	4%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	१९०	6%	4%
5	55	27	28	20	34	3	25	24	33	21	52	2	15	38	51	1	25	30
	16%	15%	16%	12%	19%	16%	15%	17%	18%	13%	16%	12%	13%	17%	15%	13%	14%	18%
6	47	27	20	28	19	6	25	12	21	26	46	1	11	35	47	0	23	24
	13%	15%	11%	16%	11%	32%	15%	9%	11%	16%	14%	6%	10%	15%	14%	%0	13%	15%
7	75	41	34	41	33	6	40	26	32	40	69	5	20	54	74	1	41	32
	21%	23%	19%	24%	19%	32%	24%	18%	17%	25%	21%	29%	17%	24%	22%	13%	23%	20%
8	67	29	38	30	36	0	34	29	35	31	63	3	20	44	63	3	36	28
	19%	17%	22%	18%	21%	0%	20%	21%	19%	20%	19%	18%	17%	19%	19%	38%	20%	17%
9	20	8	12	12	8	1	12	6	11	9	17	2	8	12	19	1	13	7
	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%	5%	7%	4%	6%	6%	5%	12%	7%	5%	6%	13%	7%	4%
10, Highly important	30	13	17	18	12	0	14	15	11	19	29	1	11	19	29	1	15	15
	9%	7%	10%	11%	7%	0%	8%	11%	6%	12%	9%	6%	10%	8%	9%	13%	8%	9%
Don't know	10	4	6	6	4	0	2	7	10	0	9	0	10	0	9	1	2	8
	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	0%	1%	5%	5%	0%	3%	%0	9%	%0	3%	13%	1%	5%
Mean	6.5	6.4	6.7	6.8	6.3	5.8	6.8	6.4	6.2	6.9	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.6	6.5	7.9	6.5	6.5
SD	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	1.6	1.9	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.2	1.6	2.2	2.1

5f. Economic development

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	nome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER 1	OYRS				NO	HAS			NO	COLEG	REG'D	NOT	CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	EG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	8	4	4	3	5	1	3	4	4	4	8	0	4	4	7	0	5	3
	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	80	3%	2%	2%	%0	3%	2%
2	17	10	7	5	11	0	3	9	13	3	13	2	5	10	15	0	11	6
	5%	6%	4%	3%	6%	0%	2%	6%	7%	2%	4%	12%	4%	4%	4%	१९०	6%	4%
3	8	5	3	3	5	0	3	3	4	4	8	0	1	6	7	0	7	1
	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	%0	1%	3%	2%	१९०	4%	1%
4	20	13	7	9	11	3	4	12	14	6	20	0	6	14	19	1	11	9
	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%	16%	2%	9%	8%	4%	6%	%0	5%	6%	6%	13%	6%	6%
5	63	29	34	35	27	4	31	25	34	28	59	3	18	44	60	2	27	34
	18%	17%	19%	20%	15%	21%	19%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	16%	19%	18%	25%	15%	21%
6	33	17	16	11	22	2	20	8	13	20	31	1	10	23	33	0	20	13
	9%	10%	9%	6%	13%	11%	12%	6%	7%	13%	10%	6%	9%	10%	10%	%0	11%	8%
7	73	40	33	42	30	5	41	23	36	35	65	7	24	47	70	3	39	33
	21%	23%	19%	25%	17%	26%	25%	16%	19%	22%	20%	41%	21%	21%	21%	38%	21%	20%
8	66	29	37	35	31	3	34	26	35	30	63	3	21	44	65	1	35	30
	19%	17%	21%	20%	18%	16%	20%	18%	19%	19%	19%	18%	18%	19%	19%	13%	19%	18%
9	24	13	11	12	12	0	15	8	9	15	24	0	10	14	24	0	10	14
	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	0%	9%	6%	5%	9%	7%	80	9%	6%	7%	१९०	5%	9%
10, Highly important	25	12	13	12	13	1	10	13	14	11	24	1	9	16	24	1	14	11
	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	9%	8%	7%	7%	6%	88	7%	7%	13%	8%	7%
Don't know	13	3	10	4	8	0	2	10	10	2	11	0	7	4	12	0	3	9
	4%	2%	6%	2%	5%	%0	1%	7%	5%	1%	3%	%0	6%	2%	4%	80	2%	6%
Mean	6.4	6.3	6.5	6.6	6.3	5.9	6.7	6.3	6.2	6.6	6.4	6.4	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.3	6.5
SD	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.5	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.3	2.1

5g. Police & public safety services

		Geno	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Childr	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER	LOYRS					HAS				COLEG	REG'D	NOT	CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	%0	0%	1%	1%	80	0%	1%	1%	%0	1%	0%
2	4	2	2	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	4
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	2%	80	1%	80	1%	0%	1%	80	%0	2%
3	3	1	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	1%
4	3	3	0	2	1	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	1%	2%	%0	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
5	20	12	8	8	12	1	10	7	13	7	18	2	7	13	19	1	14	6
	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	7%	4%	6%	12%	6%	6%	6%	13%	8%	4%
6	14	6	8	6	7	0	8	4	7	6	12	1	6	6	11	1	7	7
	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	80	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	3%	3%	13%	4%	4%
7	38	21	17	18	20	4	20	12	24	14	36	2	10	28	37	1	17	20
	11%	12%	10%	11%	11%	21%	12%	9%	13%	9%	11%	12%	9%	12%	11%	13%	9%	12%
8	75	45	30	32	42	3	30	38	47	27	72	2	22	52	71	3	34	38
	21%	26%	17%	19%	24%	16%	18%	27%	25%	17%	22%	12%	19%	23%	21%	38%	19%	23%
9	67	38	29	37	29	4	34	27	33	33	64	2	22	44	64	2	37	30
	19%	22%	17%	22%	17%	21%	20%	19%	18%	21%	20%	12%	19%	19%	19%	25%	20%	18%
10, Highly important	123	46	77	63	60	6	59	48	55	66	113	8	45	75	123	0	66	56
	35%	26%	44%	37%	34%	32%	36%	34%	30%	42%	35%	47%	39%	33%	37%	%0	36%	34%
Don't know	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	0%	1%	1%	%0	%0	1%	0%	80	1%	0%	%0	%0	0%	0%	80	1%	0%
Mean	8.3	8.1	8.6	8.4	8.3	8.1	8.4	8.4	8.2	8.6	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.3	8.4	7.5	8.3	8.3
SD	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.4	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.4	1.9	1.8

5h. Schools

		Geno	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER					NO	HAS				COLEG	REG'D		CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER H	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Not important	9	4	5	4	5	1	4	3	6	3	9	0	2	6	5	2	7	2
	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	80	2%	3%	1%	25%	4%	1%
2	6	2	4	3	2	0	2	1	5	0	3	1	2	2	4	0	2	4
	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	80	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	80	1%	2%
3	5	1	4	1	4	0	2	3	5	0	4	1	3	2	5	0	2	3
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	80	1%	2%	3%	%0	1%	6%	3%	1%	1%	%0	1%	2%
4	6	1	5	1	5	1	3	2	5	1	6	0	2	4	6	0	3	3
	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	%0	2%	2%	2%	%0	2%	2%
5	14	9	5	9	5	1	8	4	11	3	12	2	8	6	14	0	10	3
	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	6%	2%	4%	12%	7%	3%	4%	%0	5%	2%
6	13	7	6	6	7	0	2	9	13	0	12	1	4	9	12	1	9	4
	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	0%	1%	6%	7%	%0	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	13%	5%	2%
7	17	11	6	9	7	0	9	7	11	5	15	1	5	11	14	2	5	12
	5%	6%	3%	5%	4%	%0	5%	5%	6%	3%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	25%	3%	7%
8	53	29	24	19	32	2	18	26	35	16	50	1	17	33	50	2	25	27
	15%	17%	14%	11%	18%	11%	11%	18%	19%	10%	15%	6%	15%	15%	15%	25%	14%	17%
9	51	32	19	23	28	3	22	23	26	25	48	3	14	36	51	0	26	24
	15%	18%	11%	13%	16%	16%	13%	16%	14%	16%	15%	18%	12%	16%	15%	%0	14%	15%
10, Highly important	168	76	92	92	76	11	96	55	61	105	161	6	53	114	167	1	87	79
	48%	43%	53%	54%	43%	58%	58%	39%	33%	66%	49%	35%	46%	50%	50%	13%	48%	48%
Don't know	8	3	5	4	4	0	0	8	8	0	6	1	5	3	8	0	6	2
	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	%0	6%	4%	0%	2%	6%	4%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%
Mean	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.6	8.3	8.5	8.7	8.3	7.7	9.2	8.5	7.7	8.3	8.6	8.6	5.8	8.3	8.5
SD	2.3	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.6	1.7	2.3	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.1	3.7	2.5	2.2

6a. Now I want you to rate the quality of these same services as provided to the community of West Linn. Again, use a 0 to 10 scale. On the scale, a "0" indicates you believe the quality of this service in West Linn is poor. A "10" means excellent - the quality of the service in West Linn is very high. How about...

Growth management

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency	_	Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE	EEMAT	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	25-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS	OWN	RENT	NO DGREE	COLEG	REG'D VOTER H		CON TACT	NO CONTC
	IUIAL	MADE	r BMAL	TOIRS	UK +	10-34 3	55-54	55+	CHILD	עעדער	OWIN	RENI	DGREE	GRAD	VOIER	CEG D	IACI	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	13	8	5	3	10	1	5	7	10	3	12	1	5	8	13	0	12	1
	4%	5%	3%	2%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	%0	7%	1%
1	10	5	5	4	6	1	4	4	4	6	10	0	4	6	10	0	6	4
	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	%0	3%	3%	3%	%0	3%	2%
2	18	6	12	8	9	1	8	7	10	7	15	2	6	11	16	1	10	8
	5%	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	12%	5%	5%	5%	13%	5%	5%
3	17	9	8	8	9	0	10	5	9	8	16	0	7	9	16	0	10	7
	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	0%	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%	0%	6%	4%	5%	0%	5%	4%
4	21	9	12	6	15	1	7	10	12	9	21	0	7	13	21	0	10	11
	6%	5%	7%	4%	9%	5%	4%	7%	6%	6%	6%	80	6%	6%	6%	0%	5%	7%
5	67	32	35	38	27	5	31	26	34	31	63	1	19	45	62	2	31	33
	19%	18%	20%	22%	15%	26%	19%	18%	18%	20%	19%	6%	17%	20%	18%	25%	17%	20%
б	49	27	22	23	26	3	27	18	26	23	47	2	17	32	48	1	26	23
	14%	15%	13%	13%	15%	16%	16%	13%	14%	15%	14%	12%	15%	14%	14%	13%	14%	14%
7	65	40	25	30	35	2	32	26	30	34	62	3	16	49	61	3	37	28
	19%	23%	14%	18%	20%	11%	19%	18%	16%	22%	19%	18%	14%	22%	18%	38%	20%	17%
8	58	26	32	32	25	4	28	24	32	24	51	6	21	36	57	1	27	30
	17%	15%	18%	19%	14%	21%	17%	17%	17%	15%	16%	35%	18%	16%	17%	13%	15%	18%
9	8	6	2	5	3	0	3	4	5	3	8	0	3	5	8	0	4	4
	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	%0	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	%0	3%	2%	2%	%0	2%	2%
10, Excellent	15	4	11	8	7	0	9	5	8	7	15	0	5	9	15	0	6	9
	4%	2%	6%	5%	4%	0%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	0%	4%	4%	4%	१९	3%	6%
Don't know	9	3	6	6	3	1	2	5	6	3	6	2	5	3	9	0	3	5
	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	5%	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%	12%	4%	1%	3%	१९	2%	3%
Mean	5.7	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.5	5.3	5.9	5.7	5.6	5.8	5.7	6.0	5.6	5.8	5.7	5.9	5.5	6.0
SD	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.5	2.2

6b. Street maintenance

		Gend		Reside	10YRS	10.24	Age		Child: NO	HAS	Own h			tion COLEG GRAD		NOT	Cont CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE H	EMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	(EG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	7	0	7	3	4	0	2	4	6	1	7	0	6	1	7	0	2	5
	2%	80	4%	2%	2%	%0	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	%0	5%	0%	2%	%0	1%	3%
1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0%	1%	80	0%	1%	%0	%0	1%	1%	%0	0%	%0	1%	%0	0%	%0	%0	1%
2	13	9	4	6	6	0	5	5	9	3	11	1	4	8	12	0	10	3
	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	%0	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	6%	3%	4%	4%	%0	5%	2%
3	13	5	8	8	5	1	4	6	8	5	12	0	5	7	11	1	9	4
	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	%0	4%	3%	3%	13%	5%	2%
4	31	15	16	10	21	0	12	18	18	13	29	2	14	17	29	2	15	16
	9%	9%	9%	6%	12%	80	7%	13%	10%	8%	9%	12%	12%	8%	9%	25%	8%	10%
5	56	27	29	21	34	0	23	27	36	19	53	2	15	39	54	0	32	24
	16%	15%	17%	12%	19%	%0	14%	19%	19%	12%	16%	12%	13%	17%	16%	%0	18%	15%
6	62	34	28	26	36	2	34	25	30	32	60	2	18	43	60	1	29	31
	18%	19%	16%	15%	21%	11%	20%	18%	16%	20%	18%	12%	16%	19%	18%	13%	16%	19%
7	61	31	30	32	27	6	33	16	29	30	54	4	20	39	58	2	33	27
	17%	18%	17%	19%	15%	32%	20%	11%	16%	19%	17%	24%	17%	17%	17%	25%	18%	17%
8	65	37	28	39	26	6	32	26	31	33	59	5	21	44	63	2	30	34
	19%	21%	16%	23%	15%	32%	19%	18%	17%	21%	18%	29%	18%	19%	19%	25%	16%	21%
9	22	10	12	14	8	1	10	9	10	11	22	0	5	17	22	0	12	10
	6%	6%	7%	8%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	%0	4%	8%	7%	१९०	7%	6%
10, Excellent	18	6	12	11	7	3	10	4	8	10	17	1	6	11	18	0	10	8
	5%	3%	7%	6%	4%	16%	6%	3%	4%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	१९०	5%	5%
Don't know	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	%0	%0	1%	0%	%0	0%	%0	0%	१९०	0%	0%
Mean	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.5	5.9	7.6	6.5	5.9	5.9	6.6	6.2	6.5	5.9	6.4	6.2	5.9	6.2	6.3
SD	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.1	1.6	2.0	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1

6c. Library services

		Geno	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL			UNDER 1		10 24 5				HAS	0171	DENT		COLEG	REG'D		CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	5-54	55+	CHILD (HILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	KEG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	%0	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%
2	6	3	3	1	4	0	3	1	4	1	3	2	2	3	5	0	4	2
	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	80	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	12%	2%	1%	1%	%0	2%	1%
3	3	2	1	3	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	3
	1%	1%	1%	2%	%0	%0	%0	1%	2%	%0	1%	%0	%0	1%	1%	%0	%0	2%
4	8	6	2	3	5	0	3	4	4	4	8	0	3	5	8	0	4	4
	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	0%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	80	3%	2%	2%	%0	2%	2%
5	26	17	9	14	11	0	10	14	15	10	25	0	9	16	25	0	12	14
	7%	10%	5%	8%	6%	0%	6%	10%	8%	6%	8%	%0	8%	7%	7%	%0	7%	9%
6	26	13	13	13	12	2	10	12	16	9	24	1	9	16	25	0	14	12
	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	11%	6%	9%	9%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	१९०	8%	7%
7	50	27	23	25	24	2	30	16	23	26	45	4	14	35	48	2	23	26
	14%	15%	13%	15%	14%	11%	18%	11%	12%	16%	14%	24%	12%	15%	14%	25%	13%	16%
8	87	48	39	40	47	4	41	37	46	41	85	2	23	62	83	2	50	34
	25%	27%	22%	23%	27%	21%	25%	26%	25%	26%	26%	12%	20%	27%	25%	25%	27%	21%
9	62	29	33	33	29	5	30	23	32	28	60	2	14	48	58	4	34	28
	18%	17%	19%	19%	17%	26%	18%	16%	17%	18%	18%	12%	12%	21%	17%	50%	19%	17%
10, Excellent	70	24	46	32	38	5	35	25	36	34	65	4	34	34	70	0	35	34
	20%	14%	26%	19%	22%	26%	21%	18%	19%	22%	20%	24%	30%	15%	21%	%0	19%	21%
Don't know	10	5	5	6	4	1	3	6	6	4	7	2	7	3	10	0	5	5
	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	12%	6%	1%	3%	%0	3%	3%
Mean	7.8	7.5	8.1	7.8	7.8	8.5	7.9	7.6	7.7	7.9	7.8	7.5	8.0	7.7	7.8	8.3	7.8	7.7
SD	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.3	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.9	2.6	1.9	1.9	1.9	0.9	1.9	2.0

6d. Parks

		Geno	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER	10YRS				NO	HAS			NO	COLEG	REG'D	NOT	CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER H	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	2	1	3	0	0	3
	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	%0	1%	2%	%0	1%	%0	2%	0%	1%	%0	%0	2%
2	5	3	2	1	3	0	2	2	4	0	3	1	2	2	4	0	2	3
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	%0	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	80	1%	2%
3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	%0	1%	1%	0%	%0	%0	0%	0%	%0	1%	1%
4	8	5	3	2	6	0	3	5	3	5	8	0	1	7	8	0	4	4
	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	80	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	%0	1%	3%	2%	%0	2%	2%
5	21	12	9	6	14	0	8	10	12	8	19	1	9	11	20	0	12	9
	6%	7%	5%	4%	8%	80	5%	7%	6%	5%	6%	6%	8%	5%	6%	%0	7%	6%
6	36	21	15	22	13	0	13	20	25	10	34	1	6	29	35	0	18	18
	10%	12%	9%	13%	7%	0%	8%	14%	13%	6%	10%	6%	5%	13%	10%	१९	10%	11%
7	51	31	20	23	27	4	20	24	28	22	46	4	14	35	50	0	27	23
	15%	18%	11%	13%	15%	21%	12%	17%	15%	14%	14%	24%	12%	15%	15%	१९	15%	14%
8	103	53	50	52	51	5	59	34	51	51	97	4	33	69	98	4	52	49
	29%	30%	29%	30%	29%	26%	36%	24%	27%	32%	30%	24%	29%	31%	29%	50%	29%	30%
9	54	23	31	30	24	4	29	20	27	27	53	1	15	39	51	3	29	24
	15%	13%	18%	18%	14%	21%	17%	14%	15%	17%	16%	6%	13%	17%	15%	38%	16%	15%
10, Excellent	63	25	38	32	31	6	30	22	28	34	59	4	29	32	62	1	35	27
	18%	14%	22%	19%	18%	32%	18%	16%	15%	22%	18%	24%	25%	14%	18%	13%	19%	17%
Don't know	4	1	3	2	2	0	1	3	4	0	3	1	4	0	4	0	2	2
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	6%	3%	%0	1%	0%	1%	1%
Mean	7.7	7.5	7.9	7.9	7.5	8.6	7.9	7.5	7.5	8.0	7.8	7.6	7.9	7.7	7.7	8.6	7.8	7.6
SD	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.6	2.1	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.0	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.1	1.7	1.9	0.7	1.7	2.0

6e. Recreational programs

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAT.	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F		CON TACT	NO CONTC
	101111		Driftib	101105	on ·	10 51 5	5551	551			0/11	ICDIVI	DOIGH	GIGID			11101	conre
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	0
	1%	80	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	%0	80	1%	1%	%0	%0	1%	0%	13%	1%	0%
2	8	3	5	2	5	0	5	1	3	4	6	1	1	6	7	0	4	4
	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	80	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	6%	1%	3%	2%	%0	2%	2%
3	9	5	4	5	4	1	2	4	4	5	8	0	1	7	8	0	4	5
	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	%0	1%	3%	2%	%0	2%	3%
4	15	7	8	4	10	0	8	5	5	9	14	0	5	9	14	0	7	8
	4%	4%	5%	2%	6%	0%	5%	4%	3%	6%	4%	%0	4%	4%	4%	%0	4%	5%
5	40	21	19	18	22	1	14	20	28	12	38	2	14	25	40	0	18	22
	11%	12%	11%	11%	13%	5%	8%	14%	15%	8%	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%	%	10%	13%
6	48	26	22	20	27	3	24	20	29	18	45	1	15	32	46	1	25	23
	14%	15%	13%	12%	15%	16%	14%	14%	16%	11%	14%	6%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%	14%
7	73	48	25	38	34	3	42	24	32	40	68	4	20	51	69	2	37	33
	21%	27%	14%	22%	19%	16%	25%	17%	17%	25%	21%	24%	17%	23%	21%	25%	20%	20%
8	74	33	41	41	33	4	40	30	36	37	71	3	28	46	71	3	40	33
	21%	19%	23%	24%	19%	21%	24%	21%	19%	23%	22%	18%	24%	20%	21%	38%	22%	20%
9	32	15	17	16	16	3	15	13	18	14	29	3	6	26	32	0	19	13
	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%	16%	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%	18%	5%	12%	10%	%0	10%	8%
10, Excellent	23	6	17	13	10	3	8	8	11	11	21	2	10	12	23	0	13	10
	7%	3%	10%	8%	6%	16%	5%	6%	6%	7%	6%	12%	9%	5%	7%	%0	7%	6%
Don't know	26	11	15	13	13	1	6	16	20	6	24	1	15	10	25	1	13	12
	7%	6%	9%	8%	7%	5%	4%	11%	11%	4%	7%	6%	13%	4%	7%	13%	7%	7%
Mean	6.8	6.7	6.9	7.0	6.7	7.6	6.8	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.8	7.3	7.0	6.8	6.9	6.3	6.9	6.7
SD	1.9	1.7	2.1	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.9	2.0	1.9

6f. Economic development

	TOTAL	Gend		Reside	10YRS	10 24 2	Age		Child NO CHILD (HAS	Own ho			tion COLEG GRAD	Vote REG'D VOTER F	NOT	Cont CON TACT	act NO CONTC
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD	HILD		RENT	DGREE		VOIER F	EG D	TACI	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	8	4	4	4	4	0	3	4	6	2	7	1	4	4	8	0	5	3
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	%0	3%	2%
1	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	1
	1%	1%	%0	0%	1%	%0	1%	%0	1%	%0	1%	%0	0%	1%	1%	80	1%	1%
2	12	6	6	4	7	1	6	3	4	7	10	1	4	7	11	0	6	6
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	80	3%	4%
3	16	12	4	9	7	0	7	6	10	6	15	0	5	8	14	0	11	5
	5%	7%	2%	5%	4%	0%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	%0	4%	4%	4%	80	6%	3%
4	22	11	11	11	11	0	8	11	15	7	22	0	4	18	21	1	15	7
	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	0%	5%	8%	8%	4%	7%	80	3%	8%	6%	13%	8%	4%
5	65	36	29	36	28	4	27	30	39	25	60	4	20	44	62	2	32	33
	19%	21%	17%	21%	16%	21%	16%	21%	21%	16%	18%	24%	17%	19%	18%	25%	18%	20%
6	46	27	19	21	25	2	28	16	26	20	46	0	19	27	45	1	19	27
	13%	15%	11%	12%	14%	11%	17%	11%	14%	13%	14%	%0	17%	12%	13%	13%	10%	17%
7	62	35	27	32	29	6	31	22	26	34	56	5	17	44	59	2	33	27
	18%	20%	15%	19%	17%	32%	19%	16%	14%	22%	17%	29%	15%	19%	18%	25%	18%	17%
8	62	26	36	28	34	4	33	23	28	34	57	5	22	40	60	2	34	28
	18%	15%	21%	16%	19%	21%	20%	16%	15%	22%	17%	29%	19%	18%	18%	25%	19%	17%
9	16	7	9	6	10	1	6	7	7	9	15	0	8	8	16	0	10	6
	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	%0	7%	4%	5%	80	5%	4%
10, Excellent	12	3	9	6	6	1	6	4	6	6	11	0	4	7	12	0	7	5
	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	%0	3%	3%	4%	%0	4%	3%
Don't know	27	6	21	14	12	0	10	15	17	8	25	1	8	17	26	0	9	15
	8%	3%	12%	8%	7%	0%	6%	11%	9%	5%	8%	6%	7%	8%	8%	%0	5%	9%
Mean	6.1	5.8	6.3	6.0	6.1	6.7	6.2	6.0	5.8	6.4	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.0	6.1	6.3	6.0	6.1
SD	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.5	2.2	2.0

6g. Police & public safety services

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Childr	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER 1		10 04 0	4		NO	HAS				COLEG	REG'D		CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE I	EMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0, Poor	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	%0	0%	1%	1%	%0	0%	1%	1%	%0	1%	0%
1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0왕	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	%0	1%	1%	१९०	0%	0%	1%	%0	0%	%0	%0	1%
2	7	5	2	3	3	0	1	5	3	3	6	0	1	5	6	0	3	4
	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	%0	1%	2%	2%	%0	2%	2%
3	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	80	1%	1%	0%	%0	%0	0%	0%	%0	80	1%
4	14	10	4	4	10	1	5	7	10	4	12	1	5	9	14	0	11	3
	4%	6%	2%	2%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	80	6%	2%
5	19	8	11	10	9	1	8	8	12	7	18	1	8	11	18	1	11	8
	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	4%	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	13%	6%	5%
6	23	12	11	9	13	1	9	12	16	6	21	1	9	13	22	0	14	9
	7%	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	9%	9%	4%	6%	6%	88	6%	7%	%0	8%	6%
7	66	34	32	32	33	3	34	25	35	29	60	5	15	49	62	3	30	33
	19%	19%	18%	19%	19%	16%	20%	18%	19%	18%	18%	29%	13%	22%	18%	38%	16%	20%
8	98	51	47	49	48	7	47	37	50	47	94	3	30	67	94	2	51	46
	28%	29%	27%	29%	27%	37%	28%	26%	27%	30%	29%	18%	26%	30%	28%	25%	28%	28%
9	67	34	33	40	27	3	38	22	29	37	65	2	18	48	65	2	41	26
	19%	19%	19%	23%	15%	16%	23%	16%	16%	23%	20%	12%	16%	21%	19%	25%	23%	16%
10, Excellent	48	18	30	21	27	1	22	23	26	22	43	4	26	20	48	0	19	28
	14%	10%	17%	12%	15%	5%	13%	16%	14%	14%	13%	24%	23%	9%	14%	%0	10%	17%
Don't know	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	2	1	3	0	0	3
	1%	%0	2%	1%	1%	5%	%0	1%	2%	0%	1%	80	2%	0%	1%	80	%	2%
Mean	7.6	7.4	7.8	7.7	7.5	7.2	7.8	7.5	7.5	7.8	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.7
SD	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.3	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.3	1.9	1.9

6h. Schools

		Geno	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vot	er	Cont	act
				UNDER		10.04.5	4		NO	HAS				COLEG	REG'D		CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	5-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER 1	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	0%	0%	13%	1%	1%
2	4	1	3	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	4
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	80	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	0%	1%	0왕	1%	१९	0%	2%
3	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	80	1%	%0	0%	6%	1%	%0	0왕	१९	0%	1%
4	4	3	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	4	0	2	2	4	0	3	1
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	१९	2%	1%
5	18	9	9	9	9	1	9	6	12	6	17	1	8	10	17	0	15	3
	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%	१९	8%	2%
6	14	7	7	4	9	0	7	5	9	4	12	1	3	10	13	0	6	7
	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	0%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	%0	3%	4%
7	41	26	15	25	16	2	21	16	24	17	39	2	12	29	41	0	19	22
	12%	15%	9%	15%	9%	11%	13%	11%	13%	11%	12%	12%	10%	13%	12%	१९	10%	13%
8	79	43	36	39	39	3	37	36	45	33	76	2	24	54	77	2	37	40
	23%	25%	21%	23%	22%	16%	22%	26%	24%	21%	23%	12%	21%	24%	23%	25%	20%	25%
9	80	43	37	35	45	7	36	31	33	46	77	2	21	57	78	1	44	35
	23%	25%	21%	20%	26%	37%	22%	22%	18%	29%	24%	12%	18%	25%	23%	13%	24%	21%
10, Excellent	91	36	55	44	47	3	48	34	41	49	85	6	33	56	90	1	48	42
	26%	21%	31%	26%	27%	16%	29%	24%	22%	31%	26%	35%	29%	25%	27%	13%	26%	26%
Don't know	15	5	10	10	5	2	3	10	15	0	12	2	9	6	12	3	9	6
	4%	3%	6%	6%	3%	11%	2%	7%	8%	0%	4%	12%	88	3%	4%	38%	5%	4%
Mean	8.2	8.1	8.3	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.0	8.5	8.3	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.3	7.2	8.3	8.2
SD	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.7	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.6	3.6	1.7	1.8

7a. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this statement.

If the Stafford area is brought inside the Urban Growth Boundary, the City of West Linn should be responsible for planning and regulating development in areas near the City

		Gend	ler	Resid			Age		Child		Own h	nome	Educa		Vote	er	Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F	NOT REG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	181 52%	90 51%	91 52%	93 54%	86 49%	11 58%	92 55%	65 46%	94 51%	83 53%	171 52%	7 41%	51 44%	125 55%	175 52%	3 38%	95 52%	
Agree somewhat	77 22%	39 22%	38 22%	43 25%	34 19%	3 16%	40 24%	28 20%	35 19%	42 27%	73 22%	4 24%	24 21%	52 23%	75 22%	2 25%	34 19%	
Disagree somewhat	43 12%	20 11%	23 13%	19 11%	23 13%	0 80	19 11%	20 14%	22 12%	20 13%	40 12%	1 6%	16 14%	25 11%	39 12%	2 25%	26 14%	17 10%
Disagree strongly	31 9%	19 11%	12 7%	10 6%	20 11%	2 11%	9 5%	19 13%	23 12%	7 4%	27 8%	3 18%	14 12%	16 7%	30 9%	0 %0	19 10%	12 7%
Don't know	18 5%	7 4%	11 6%	6 4%	12 7%	3 16%	6 4%	9 6%	12 6%	6 4%	15 5%	2 12%	10 9%	8 4%	17 5%	1 13%	8 4%	10 6%

7b. The City of West Linn makes efficient use of tax dollars

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child: NO	ren? HAS	Own h	ome	Education NO	tion COLEG	Vote REG'D	er NOT	Cont CON	act NO
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER H	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	31	22	9	18	13	2	17	12	14	17	29	1	9	22	30	1	19	11
	9%	13%	5%	11%	7%	11%	10%	9%	8%	11%	9%	6%	8%	10%	9%	13%	10%	7%
Agree somewhat	151	70	81	85	65	9	72	62	76	72	139	10	50	98	147	3	69	81
	43%	40%	46%	50%	37%	47%	43%	44%	41%	46%	43%	59%	43%	43%	44%	38%	38%	50%
Disagree somewhat	71	37	34	27	42	4	33	28	37	32	67	1	24	43	65	3	44	27
	20%	21%	19%	16%	24%	21%	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	6%	21%	19%	19%	38%	24%	17%
Disagree strongly	70	36	34	23	46	2	32	28	46	23	65	4	24	45	68	1	40	28
	20%	21%	19%	13%	26%	11%	19%	20%	25%	15%	20%	24%	21%	20%	20%	13%	22%	17%
Don't know	27	10	17	18	9	2	12	11	13	14	26	1	8	18	26	0	10	16
	8%	6%	10%	11%	5%	11%	7왕	8%	7%	9%	8%	6%	7%	8%	8%	१९	5%	10%

7c. The City of West Linn keeps its promises to voters

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child: NO	ren? HAS	Own h	ome	Educa NO	tion COLEG	Vote REG'D	er NOT	Cont CON	act NO
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER H	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	27	14	13	12	15	2	16	9	15	12	26	1	8	19	27	0	16	10
	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	11%	10%	6%	8%	8%	8%	6%	7%	8%	8%	%0	9%	6%
Agree somewhat	182	98	84	95	86	8	95	67	88	92	171	8	58	122	179	2	93	88
	52%	56%	48%	56%	49%	42%	57%	48%	47%	58%	52%	47%	50%	54%	53%	25%	51%	54%
Disagree somewhat	58	25	33	22	34	2	23	27	35	21	52	3	21	32	51	4	33	24
	17%	14%	19%	13%	19%	11%	14%	19%	19%	13%	16%	18%	18%	14%	15%	50%	18%	15%
Disagree strongly	44	18	26	17	26	2	16	21	27	16	41	2	13	30	41	2	28	15
	13%	10%	15%	10%	15%	11%	10%	15%	15%	10%	13%	12%	11%	13%	12%	25%	15%	9%
Don't know	39	20	19	25	14	5	16	17	21	17	36	3	15	23	38	0	12	26
	11%	11%	11%	15%	8%	26%	10%	12%	11%	11%	11%	18%	13%	10%	11%	0%	7%	16%

7d. The City should engage in sustainable environmental practices

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	ome	Educa		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	211	101	110	96	113	13	96	88	117	90	194	13	64	143	203	5	110	98
	60%	58%	63%	56%	65%	68%	58%	62%	63%	57%	60%	76%	56%	63%	60%	63%	60%	60%
Agree somewhat	96	49	47	54	42	6	53	33	46	50	92	4	38	57	95	1	50	44
	27%	28%	27%	32%	24%	32%	32%	23%	25%	32%	28%	24%	33%	25%	28%	13%	27%	27%
Disagree somewhat	20	11	9	9	10	0	11	6	9	10	18	0	6	12	16	2	11	9
	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	0%	7%	4%	5%	6%	6%	0%	5%	5%	5%	25%	6%	6%
Disagree strongly	11	9	2	9	2	0	3	8	6	5	11	0	1	10	11	0	8	3
	3%	5%	1%	5%	1%	%0	2%	6%	3%	3%	3%	%0	1%	4%	3%	%0	4%	2%
Don't know	12	5	7	3	8	0	3	6	8	3	11	0	6	4	11	0	3	9
	3%	3%	4%	2%	5%	0%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	0%	5%	2%	3%	%0	2%	6%

7e. I know many of my neighbors and often greet them by name

		Geno	ler	Resid			Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educa		Vote	er	Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER 1	NOT REG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	205	101	104	99	104	9	112	70	94	107	198	4	69	132	198	4	114	91
	59%	58%	59%	58%	59%	47%	67%	50%	51%	68%	61%	24%	60%	58%	59%	50%	63%	56%
Agree somewhat	88	44	44	43	44	9	36	37	49	38	83	3	22	64	83	4	46	40
	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	47%	22%	26%	26%	24%	25%	18%	19%	28%	25%	50%	25%	25%
Disagree somewhat	38	24	14	21	16	1	12	22	28	9	29	7	13	22	36	0	14	22
	11%	14%	8%	12%	9%	5%	7왕	16%	15%	6%	9%	41%	11%	10%	11%	%0	8%	13%
Disagree strongly	15	5	10	6	9	0	6	8	11	4	13	2	8	7	15	0	7	7
	4%	3%	6%	4%	5%	0%	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	12%	7%	3%	4%	0%	4%	4%
Don't know	4	1	3	2	2	0	0	4	4	0	3	1	3	1	4	0	1	3
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	%0	3%	2%	%	1%	6%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%

7f. City staff are knowledgeable

		Gend	ler	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	ome	Educa		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE 1	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	5-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	62	29	33	28	34	1	33	24	36	26	58	4	20	40	60	1	40	22
	18%	17%	19%	16%	19%	5%	20%	17%	19%	16%	18%	24%	17%	18%	18%	13%	22%	13%
Agree somewhat	167	90	77	84	81	10	84	63	80	84	159	5	53	111	161	5	95	71
	48%	51%	44%	49%	46%	53%	51%	45%	43%	53%	49%	29%	46%	49%	48%	63%	52%	44%
Disagree somewhat	30	13	17	12	17	2	16	9	13	16	25	3	13	15	27	1	16	13
	9%	7%	10%	7%	10%	11%	10%	6%	7%	10%	8%	18%	11%	7%	8%	13%	9%	8%
Disagree strongly	20	11	9	6	14	1	5	11	15	5	19	1	7	13	20	0	15	5
	6%	6%	5%	4%	8%	5%	3%	8%	8%	3%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	%0	8%	3%
Don't know	71	32	39	41	29	5	28	34	42	27	65	4	22	47	68	1	16	52
	20%	18%	22%	24%	17%	26%	17%	24%	23%	17%	20%	24%	19%	21%	20%	13%	9%	32%

7g. City staff treat residents with respect

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE F	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	101	52	49	53	48	8	48	43	55	45	96	5	34	66	99	1	60	41
	29%	30%	28%	31%	27%	42%	29%	30%	30%	28%	29%	29%	30%	29%	29%	13%	33%	25%
Agree somewhat	146	78	68	77	67	7	78	52	66	77	140	3	51	92	139	6	77	68
	42%	45%	39%	45%	38%	37%	47%	37%	35%	49%	43%	18%	44%	41%	41%	75%	42%	42%
Disagree somewhat	31	14	17	11	19	0	15	12	17	13	28	1	7	22	29	0	20	9
	9%	8%	10%	6%	11%	0%	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	6%	6%	10%	9%	१९	11%	6%
Disagree strongly	21	10	11	3	18	1	5	13	16	5	18	3	10	11	21	0	15	6
	6%	6%	6%	2%	10%	5%	3%	9%	9%	3%	6%	18%	9%	5%	6%	%0	8%	4%
Don't know	51	21	30	27	23	3	20	21	32	18	44	5	13	35	48	1	10	39
	15%	12%	17%	16%	13%	16%	12%	15%	17%	11%	13%	29%	11%	15%	14%	13%	5%	24%

7h. My neighborhood association plays an important role in the West Linn community

		Gende	er	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	ome	Educat		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE F	EMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER 1	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	82	33	49	33	49	4	41	32	44	37	77	5	42	40	81	1	47	35
	23%	19%	28%	19%	28%	21%	25%	23%	24%	23%	24%	29%	37%	18%	24%	13%	26%	21%
Agree somewhat	112	57	55	57	53	5	52	46	57	52	105	5	30	79	109	2	54	56
	32%	33%	31%	33%	30%	26%	31%	33%	31%	33%	32%	29%	26%	35%	32%	25%	30%	34%
Disagree somewhat	72	38	34	41	29	5	38	21	33	37	68	1	16	51	64	4	39	33
	21%	22%	19%	24%	17%	26%	23%	15%	18%	23%	21%	6%	14%	23%	19%	50%	21%	20%
Disagree strongly	43	26	17	14	29	3	17	21	24	19	39	3	14	29	42	1	25	17
	12%	15%	10%	8%	17%	16%	10%	15%	13%	12%	12%	18%	12%	13%	13%	13%	14%	10%
Don't know	41	21	20	26	15	2	18	21	28	13	37	3	13	27	40	0	17	22
	12%	12%	11%	15%	9%	11%	11%	15%	15%	8%	11%	18%	11%	12%	12%	0%	9%	13%

7i. Management of the City of West Linn has improved over the past three years

		Gend	ler	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	lome	Educa		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Agree strongly	75	39	36	36	39	0	38	32	39	35	72	2	24	48	74	0	47	28
	21%	22%	21%	21%	22%	0%	23%	23%	21%	22%	22%	12%	21%	21%	22%	%0	26%	17%
Agree somewhat	117	66	51	56	60	8	60	42	61	54	109	6	41	75	113	4	55	62
	33%	38%	29%	33%	34%	42%	36%	30%	33%	34%	33%	35%	36%	33%	34%	50%	30%	38%
Disagree somewhat	61	33	28	27	33	5	29	23	35	25	56	3	25	34	56	3	33	27
	17%	19%	16%	16%	19%	26%	17%	16%	19%	16%	17%	18%	22%	15%	17%	38%	18%	17%
Disagree strongly	41	15	26	12	27	2	18	16	22	17	37	2	9	30	38	1	26	13
	12%	9%	15%	7%	15%	11%	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	12%	88	13%	11%	13%	14%	8%
Don't know	56	22	34	40	16	4	21	28	29	27	52	4	16	39	55	0	21	33
	16%	13%	19%	23%	9%	21%	13%	20%	16%	17%	16%	24%	14%	17%	16%	0%	12%	20%

8a. Looking to the future, there are a number of projects the City of West Linn could undertake that would require voter approval of bond measures. Before any formal consideration takes place, the City Council wants to know the level of support in the community for the City undertaking a variety of types of projects. So while we realize you would need more information before making any decision, in general, please tell me how supportive you are of the City undertaking each of the following. We will provide you information about the total cost for each project and the cost to an individual property taxpayer with a home that has an assessed value of \$250,000, the median assessed value for a home in West Linn. For this question, please use a "1" to "5" scale. On the scale a "1" indicates you are not supportive at all and a "5" indicates you are very supportive. An aquatics center. Total cost of construction would be around \$25 million. The cost to the average taxpayer for construction and operation would be about \$250 per year.

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own ł	lome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER I		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
1, Not supportive	144	79	65	60	83	6	54	69	98	43	137	5	54	86	138	4	84	60
	41%	45%	37%	35%	47%	32%	33%	49%	53%	27%	42%	29%	47%	38%	41%	50%	46%	37%
2	57	32	25	25	30	1	30	21	28	27	52	2	16	38	54	1	32	24
	16%	18%	14%	15%	17%	5%	18%	15%	15%	17%	16%	12%	14%	17%	16%	13%	18%	15%
3	54	24	30	29	25	4	25	25	28	26	51	3	15	39	54	0	28	26
	15%	14%	17%	17%	14%	21%	15%	18%	15%	16%	16%	18%	13%	17%	16%	%	15%	16%
4	32	15	17	23	9	3	20	8	14	18	29	3	15	17	32	0	11	21
	9%	9%	10%	13%	5%	16%	12%	6%	8%	11%	9%	18%	13%	8%	10%	%0	6%	13%
5, Very supportive	58	23	35	31	26	5	35	15	16	41	53	4	13	44	53	3	27	28
	17%	13%	20%	18%	15%	26%	21%	11%	9%	26%	16%	24%	11%	19%	16%	38%	15%	17%
Don't know	5	2	3	3	2	0	2	3	2	3	4	0	2	2	5	0	0	4
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	%0	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	80	2%	1%	1%	0%	%0	2%
Mean	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.2	3.0	2.7	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.4	2.9	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6
SD	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5

8b. Parks and open spaces outside the Urban Growth Boundary. Total acquisition cost would be around \$10 million. The cost to the average property taxpayer for acquisition and maintenance would be about \$100 per year

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE F	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
1, Not supportive	94	49	45	28	65	3	35	49	65	28	89	4	42	51	90	3	55	39
	27%	28%	26%	16%	37%	16%	21%	35%	35%	18%	27%	24%	37%	23%	27%	38%	30%	24%
2	60	31	29	38	21	1	33	22	26	32	56	2	17	41	56	2	29	31
	17%	18%	17%	22%	12%	5%	20%	16%	14%	20%	17%	12%	15%	18%	17%	25%	16%	19%
3	84	43	41	42	42	4	39	36	44	39	78	6	22	61	80	3	46	36
	24%	25%	23%	25%	24%	21%	23%	26%	24%	25%	24%	35%	19%	27%	24%	38%	25%	22%
4	55	23	32	32	23	7	32	12	24	31	54	1	14	40	54	0	30	24
	16%	13%	18%	19%	13%	37%	19%	9%	13%	20%	17%	6%	12%	18%	16%	११	16%	15%
5, Very supportive	51	26	25	28	22	4	25	19	25	25	44	4	18	31	50	0	21	29
	15%	15%	14%	16%	13%	21%	15%	13%	13%	16%	13%	24%	16%	14%	15%	%0	12%	18%
Don't know	6	3	3	3	2	0	2	3	2	3	5	0	2	2	6	0	1	4
	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	%0	1%	2%
Mean	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.5	3.4	2.9	2.5	2.6	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.0	2.6	2.8
SD	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.4	0.9	1.4	1.4

8c. Trails, pathways, and sidewalks. Total cost would be around \$5 million and the cost to the average property taxpayer would be about \$45 per year

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	nome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT	NO DGREE	COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
1, Not supportive	46	26	20	12	34	1	19	22	33	13	44	2	21	25	45	1	22	24
	13%	15%	11%	7%	19%	5%	11%	16%	18%	8%	13%	12%	18%	11%	13%	13%	12%	15%
2	41	26	15	18	22	2	15	20	26	14	36	3	17	21	38	1	19	21
	12%	15%	9%	11%	13%	11%	9%	14%	14%	9%	11%	18%	15%	9%	11%	13%	10%	13%
3	81	41	40	36	44	3	37	37	41	38	77	2	21	58	75	3	42	39
	23%	23%	23%	21%	25%	16%	22%	26%	22%	24%	24%	12%	18%	26%	22%	38%	23%	24%
4	80	40	40	49	30	9	40	25	37	41	73	6	22	57	76	3	44	34
	23%	23%	23%	29%	17%	47%	24%	18%	20%	26%	22%	35%	19%	25%	23%	38%	24%	21%
5, Very supportive	101	41	60	56	45	4	55	37	49	52	96	4	34	65	101	0	55	44
	29%	23%	34%	33%	26%	21%	33%	26%	26%	33%	29%	24%	30%	29%	30%	80	30%	27%
Don't know	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0%	1%	0%	%0	%0	%0	%0	0%	%0	0%	0%	80	%0	%0	0%	0%	%0	1%
Mean	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.5	3.3
SD	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.4

8d. Street repair and maintenance. Total cost would be about \$6 million and the cost to the average taxpayer would be about \$54 per year

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own ł	lome	Educa	ation	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT	NO DGREE	COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
1, Not supportive	33 9%	18 10%	15 9%	10 6%	23 13%	1 5%	12 7%	16 11%	25 13%	8 5%	32 10%	1 6%	14 12%		32 10%	1 13%	21 12%	
2	27 8%	14 8%	13 7%	11 6%	14 8%	0 0%	15 9%	8 6%	14 8%	11 7%	24 7%	0 80	7 6%	17 8%	25 7%	0 १९०	12 7%	
3	60 17%	33 19%	27 15%	34 20%	25 14%	8 42%	27 16%	20 14%	32 17%	27 17%	55 17%	4 24%	22 19%		57 17%	2 25%	28 15%	
4	102 29%	53 30%	49 28%	54 32%	48 27%	7 37%	48 29%	44 31%	49 26%	52 33%	93 29%	8 47%	27 23%	74 33%	99 29%	2 25%	52 29%	
5, Very supportive	126 36%	57 33%	69 39%	62 36%	63 36%	3 16%	63 38%	52 37%	65 35%	59 37%	120 37%	4 24%	44 38%		121 36%	3 38%	68 37%	
Don't know	2 1%	0 0%	2 1%	0 %0	2 1%	0 %0	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	0 80	1 1%	1 0%	2 1%	0 %0	1 1%	1 1%
Mean SD	3.8 1.3	3.7 1.3	3.8 1.3	3.9 1.2	3.7 1.4	3.6 1.0	3.8 1.2	3.8 1.3	3.6 1.4	3.9 1.1	3.8 1.3	3.8 1.0	3.7 1.4	3.8 1.2	3.8 1.3	3.8 1.4	3.7 1.3	

9a. In the past year, have you personally had contact with any City of West Linn employees or elected officials?

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	nome	Educa	ation	Vot	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE 1	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT	NO DGREE	COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Yes, had contact	182	97	85	90	92	9	88	73	99	83	173	8	52	129	176	4	182	0
	52%	55%	49%	53%	53%	47%	53%	52%	53%	53%	53%	47%	45%	57%	52%	50%	100%	80
No, have not had contact	163	76	87	79	80	10	74	67	85	72	149	8	63	93	155	4	0	163
	47%	43%	50%	46%	46%	53%	45%	48%	46%	46%	46%	47%	55%	41%	46%	50%	%0	100%
Don't know/ don't remember	5	2	3	2	3	0	4	1	2	3	4	1	0	4	5	0	0	0
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	6%	%0	2%	1%	%0	%0	0%

9b. What type of City employee or official did you have contact with?

(Based on those who have had contact)

		Gen	der	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER	10YRS				NO	HAS			NO	COLEG	REG'D	NOT	CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER H	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	182	97	85	90	92	9	88	73	99	83	173	8	52	129	176	4	182	0
City Hall staff	41	19	22	15	26	0	21	18	21	20	41	0	14	27	40	1	41	0
	23%	20%	26%	17%	28%	0%	24%	25%	21%	24%	24%	%0	27%	21%	23%	25%	23%	0%
Elected officials	40	21	19	20	20	3	17	15	22	18	39	1	10	30	39	1	40	0
	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	33%	19%	21%	22%	22%	23%	13%	19%	23%	22%	25%	22%	0%
Public works staff	39	21	18	19	20	1	22	14	23	16	36	2	12	27	37	2	39	0
	21%	22%	21%	21%	22%	11%	25%	19%	23%	19%	21%	25%	23%	21%	21%	50%	21%	0%
Police	38	22	16	17	21	3	15	16	21	17	35	2	15	22	37	0	38	0
	21%	23%	19%	19%	23%	33%	17%	22%	21%	20%	20%	25%	29%	17%	21%	%0	21%	0%
Parks staff	26	11	15	9	17	1	13	9	14	12	25	1	5	21	25	0	26	0
	14%	11%	18%	10%	18%	11%	15%	12%	14%	14%	14%	13%	10%	16%	14%	%0	14%	0%
Planning	25	22	3	14	11	1	14	9	15	10	23	2	7	18	25	0	25	0
	14%	23%	4%	16%	12%	11%	16%	12%	15%	12%	13%	25%	13%	14%	14%	%0	14%	0%
Fire	7	3%	4	3	4	0	4	3	4	3	5	2	4	3	7	0	7	0
	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	%0	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	25%	8%	2%	4%	%0	48	0%
Code enforcement	5	3	2	4	1	0	3	2	2	3	5	0	0	5	5	0	5	0
	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	0%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	80	%0	4%	3%	१९	3%	0%
Municipal court	3	2	1	2	1	0	1	2	3	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	3	0
	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%	3%	%0	1%	13%	4%	1%	2%	%0	2%	0%
Other	13	6	7	5	8	1	8	3	8	5	13	0	3	10	13	0	13	0
	7%	6%	8%	6%	9%	11%	9%	4%	88	6%	8%	0%	6%	8%	7%	0%	7%	0%
Don't know/ don't remember	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0
	1%	1%	1%	2%	%0	%0	%0	3%	2%	0%	1%	13%	2%	1%	1%	80	1%	0%

10. And in the last year, from which of the following sources have you received information specifically about the City of West Linn?

		Geno	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educa	tion	Vot	er	Cont	act
				UNDER	10YRS				NO	HAS			NO	COLEG	REG'D	NOT	CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	5-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER 1	REG′D	TACT	CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
West Linn Tidings	238	119	119	115	122	14	118	91	120	116	228	8	67	167	230	5	132	101
	68%	68%	68%	67%	70%	74%	71%	65%	65%	73%	70%	47%	58%	74%	68%	63%	73%	62%
Update, city newsletter	232	110	122	107	123	10	117	91	127	101	217	12	68	159	220	8	129	99
	66%	63%	70%	63%	70%	53%	70%	65%	68%	64%	67%	71%	59%	70%	65%	100%	71%	61%
Word-of-mouth	196	105	91	90	105	13	107	65	93	100	184	9	52	141	188	6	117	75
	56%	60%	52%	53%	60%	68%	64%	46%	50%	63%	56%	53%	45%	62%	56%	75%	64%	46%
The Oregonian	185	96	89	82	103	9	88	81	99	85	175	8	58	125	177	б	96	86
	53%	55%	51%	48%	59%	47%	53%	57%	53%	54%	54%	47%	50%	55%	53%	75%	53%	53%
N'hood association	120	65	55	62	58	5	67	41	57	62	117	3	32	87	115	4	77	42
	34%	37%	31%	36%	33%	26%	40%	29%	31%	39%	36%	18%	28%	38%	34%	50%	42%	26%
City website	112	60	52	69	43	7	70	27	44	66	108	3	20	91	104	7	73	37
	32%	34%	30%	40%	25%	37%	42%	19%	24%	42%	33%	18%	17%	40%	31%	88%	40%	23%
Local TV news	95	50	45	45	50	4	47	43	52	43	87	7	29	66	92	3	55	38
	27%	29%	26%	26%	29%	21%	28%	30%	28%	27%	27%	41%	25%	29%	27%	38%	30%	23%
Public access TV	76	44	32	36	40	5	36	33	34	42	70	6	23	52	72	2	48	25
	22%	25%	18%	21%	23%	26%	22%	23%	18%	27%	21%	35%	20%	23%	21%	25%	26%	15%
Radio	37	22	15	20	17	1	19	16	16	21	33	3	11	26	34	2	21	15
	11%	13%	9%	12%	10%	5%	11%	11%	98	13%	10%	18%	10%	12%	10%	25%	12%	9%
Emails from City	22	14	8	14	8	1	14	7	10	12	22	0	6	16	21	1	17	5
	6%	88	5%	8%	5%	5%	8%	5%	5%	8%	7%	0%	5%	7%	6%	13%	98	3%
Other	1	0 %0	1 1%	1 1%	0	0 80	0 80	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%	1	0 80	0 %0	1 0%	1 0%	0 %0	1	0 0%
	0%	08	ΤŞ	Τš	0%	08	08	ΤŞ	03	ΤQ	0%	08	U₹	08	08	08	1%	03
None of the above	1 0%	0 %0	1 1%	1 1%	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	1 1%	0 0 %	1 1%	1 0%	0 80	1 1%	0 %0	1 0%	0 %0	0 %0	1 1%
	04	08	1.2	7.2	03	03	04	72	04	12	015	04	12	04	04	US	03	7.9
Don't know	9 3%	5 3%	4 2%	4 2%	3 2%	0 0%	3 2%	3 2%	4 2%	3 2%	6 2%	0 80	3 3%	3 1%	7 2%	0 0%	1 1%	8 5%
	20	50	20	20	2.9	0.9	270	23	20	270	20	0.2	20	±9	20	0.9	10	

11. Which, if any, of the following local community events have you attended in the past year?

		Gend	ler	Resid	-		Age		Childr		Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	-	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE 1	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD C	HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Old Time Fair	173	89	84	81	91	9	98	56	79	92	161	10	55	114	167	4	102	66
	49%	51%	48%	47%	52%	47%	59%	40%	42%	58%	49%	59%	48%	50%	50%	50%	56%	40%
Willamette Arts Festival	138	64	74	71	66	6	71	49	72	64	128	8	41	94	130	6	88	50
	39%	37%	42%	42%	38%	32%	43%	35%	39%	41%	39%	47%	36%	42%	39%	75%	48%	31%
Mary S. Young Arts Festival in the Forest	130	69	61	68	61	8	61	50	64	63	122	6	39	89	124	5	78	51
	37%	39%	35%	40%	35%	42%	37%	35%	34%	40%	37%	35%	34%	39%	37%	63%	43%	31%
Willamette Park Concert Series	102	51	51	57	43	5	52	36	46	53	93	7	28	70	94	4	62	40
	29%	29%	29%	33%	25%	26%	31%	26%	25%	34%	29%	41%	24%	31%	28%	50%	34%	25%
Tanner Creek Concert Series	93	35	58	52	40	5	54	28	39	53	89	2	23	68	87	5	47	46
	27%	20%	33%	30%	23%	26%	33%	20%	21%	34%	27%	12%	20%	30%	26%	63%	26%	28%
LockFest	57	37	20	32	24	3	31	18	26	29	53	3	19	36	54	2	35	21
	16%	21%	11%	19%	14%	16%	19%	13%	14%	18%	16%	18%	17%	16%	16%	25%	19%	13%
None of the above	81	39	42	34	46	4	26	45	54	26	76	4	36	43	79	1	31	50
	23%	22%	24%	20%	26%	21%	16%	32%	29%	16%	23%	24%	31%	19%	24%	13%	17%	31%
Don't know	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
	1%	1%	1%	1%	80	0%	80	1%	1%	0%	0%	%0	%0	0%	1%	0%	%0	2%

12a. Do you happen to know the name of your local neighborhood association?

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Childr		Own h	ome	Educat		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE F	EMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS	lOYRS OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+		HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F	NOT REG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Hidden Springs	51	22	29	23	28	0	27	19	26	25	50	1	12	36	48	2	31	19
	15%	13%	17%	13%	16%	%0	16%	13%	14%	16%	15%	6%	10%	16%	14%	25%	17%	12%
Willamette	37	17	20	12	25	2	20	14	21	16	35	2	16	20	36	1	29	7
	11%	10%	11%	7%	14%	11%	12%	10%	11%	10%	11%	12%	14%	9%	11%	13%	16%	4%
Bolton	28	12	16	9	19	1	9	16	15	13	27	1	7	21	27	1	20	8
	8%	7%	9%	5%	11%	5%	5%	11%	8%	8%	8%	6%	6%	9%	8%	13%	11%	5%
Sunset	23	12	11	7	16	0	11	10	14	9	21	2	8	15	23	0	14	8
	7%	7%	6%	4%	9%	%0	7%	7%	8%	6%	6%	12%	7%	7%	7%	%0	8%	5%
Rosemont Summit	21	13	8	10	11	1	9	10	8	13	21	0	4	17	21	0	9	11
	6%	7%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	7%	4%	8%	6%	%0	3%	8%	6%	%0	5%	7%
Tanner Basin	13	8	5	7	6	0	6	7	8	5	13	0	3	10	13	0	12	1
	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	80	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	%0	3%	4%	4%	%0	7%	1%
Parker Crest	8	2	6	5	3	1	6	1	4	4	8	0	2	6	8	0	5	3
	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	%0	2%	3%	2%	%0	3%	2%
Barrington Heights	8	4	4	4	4	0	4	2	1	7	8	0	3	5	8	0	5	3
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	%0	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	%0	3%	2%	2%	%0	3%	2%
Robinwood	7	3	4	2	5	0	3	4	6	1	7	0	3	4	6	1	2	5
	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	80	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	%0	3%	2%	2%	13%	1%	3%
Sunburst	4	1	3	1	3	0	3	1	2	2	4	0	1	3	4	0	2	2
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	80	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%
Haver Hills	3	1	2	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	0	3
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	80	1%	1%	1%	%0	%0	2%
Hoodview	3	0	3	3	0	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	2	1	3	0	0	3
	1%	%0	2%	2%	%0	%0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	2%	0%	1%	%0	%0	2%
Cascade Summit	3	1	2	2	1	0	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	2%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%
Marylhurst	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	80	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	80	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%
Skyline Ridge	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	%0	1%	1%	%0	%0	%0	1%	1%	0%	0%	80	%0	0%	0%	%0	1%	0%
BHT	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	0%	%0	1%	1%	%0	%0	%0	1%	1%	0%	0%	%0	1%	%0	0%	0%	1%	0%
Other	29	12	17	21	8	3	16	8	14	14	28	1	7	22	28	1	11	17
	8%	7%	10%	12%	5%	16%	10%	6%	8%	9%	9%	6%	6%	10%	8%	13%	6%	10%
Don't know	108	66	42	60	44	11	45	44	60	43	91	10	44	59	101	2	38	70
	31%	38%	24%	35%	25%	58%	27%	31%	32%	27%	28%	59%	38%	26%	30%	25%	21%	43%

12b. In the past year, approximately how many, if any, neighborhood association meetings have you attended?

(Based on those who know the name of their neighborhood association)

		Geno	der	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own ł	nome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
				UNDER	LOYRS				NO	HAS			NO	COLEG	REG'D	NOT	CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	35-54	55+	CHILD (CHILD	OWN	RENT	DGREE	GRAD	VOTER F	REG'D	TACT	CONTC
Total	242	109	133	111	131	8	121	97	126	115	235	7	71	167	235	6	144	93
None	156	59	97	67	89	5	82	59	74	81	151	5	43	109	151	4	82	69
	64%	54%	73%	60%	68%	63%	68%	61%	59%	70%	64%	71%	61%	65%	64%	67%	57%	74%
1	33	18	15	20	13	3	15	14	19	14	33	0	9	24	32	1	23	10
	14%	17%	11%	18%	10%	38%	12%	14%	15%	12%	14%	%0	13%	14%	14%	17%	16%	11%
2	26	19	7	12	14	0	14	11	15	11	26	0	9	17	26	0	19	7
	11%	17%	5%	11%	11%	%	12%	11%	12%	10%	11%	%0	13%	10%	11%	%0	13%	8%
3	9	6	3	5	4	0	5	3	4	5	9	0	1	8	9	0	6	3
	4%	6%	2%	5%	3%	%0	4%	3%	3%	4%	48	%0	1%	5%	4%	0%	4%	3%
4	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	80	%0	3%	2%	%0	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
5	4	1	3	2	2	0	4	0	1	3	4	0	2	2	3	1	3	1
	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	80	3%	%0	1%	3%	2%	80	3%	1%	1%	17%	2%	1%
6	5	1	4	2	3	0	1	3	4	1	4	1	3	2	5	0	4	1
	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	%0	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	14%	4%	1%	2%	80	3%	1%
7	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	1%	80	0%	1%	%0	%0	1%	1%	%0	0%	%0	0%	1%	0%	80	1%	0%
8	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	0%	1%	%0	1%	%0	80	%0	1%	1%	%0	0%	%0	1%	80	0%	0%	1%	0%
10	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	3	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	2	1
	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	%0	%0	2%	2%	%0	1%	14%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
12	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
	0%	1%	80	%0	1%	%0	%0	%0	1%	0%	0%	%0	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Mean	1.0	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.7	1.1	1.3	0.6	0.9	2.3	1.3	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.6
SD	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.9	0.5	1.3	2.1	2.3	1.2	1.8	4.1	2.3	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.1	1.5

13. How long have you lived in the City of West Linn?

			Gend	ler	Resid	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own l	nome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	Т	OTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER I		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total		350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
1 year or less		21 6%	9 5%	12 7%	21 12%	0 %0	2 11%	8 5%	9 6%	11 6%	9 6%	16 5%	3 18%	5 4%	14 6%	20 6%	0 ११	5 3%	
2-4 years		64 18%	37 21%	27 15%	64 37%	0 %0	7 37%	36 22%	17 12%	26 14%	37 23%	59 18%	5 29%	18 16%	46 20%	62 18%	1 13%	35 19%	
5-9 years		86 25%	43 25%	43 25%	86 50%	0 %0	6 32%	48 29%	30 21%	40 22%	46 29%	83 25%	3 18%	25 22%	59 26%	82 24%	4 50%	50 27%	
10-19 years		97 28%	47 27%	50 29%	0 %0	97 55%	3 16%	49 30%	37 26%	49 26%	48 30%	92 28%	4 24%	32 28%	64 28%	95 28%	1 13%	50 27%	
20-29 years		38 11%	20 11%	18 10%	0 %0	38 22%	0 %0	14 8%	23 16%	25 13%	13 8%	37 11%	1 6%	12 10%	26 12%	37 11%	1 13%	18 10%	
30 years or more		40 11%	18 10%	22 13%	0 %0	40 23%	1 5%	11 7%	25 18%	35 19%	5 3%	39 12%	1 6%	23 20%	17 8%	39 12%	1 13%	24 13%	16 10%
Don't know or refused		4 1%	1 1%	3 2%	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	0 0%	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	0 %0	1 0%	0 0%	0 %0	
	Mean SD	13.7 13.4	13.3 13.2		4.6 2.5	22.7 13.8	6.9 7.6	11.1 9.7	17.5 16.0	17.3 15.8	9.7 8.3	14.0 13.5	9.4 11.9	17.3 15.7		13.9 13.6	11.5 9.4	14.5 14.2	

14. Do you own or rent your current home?

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own l	nome	Educa	ation	Vot	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE I	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Own	326	165	161	158	168	16	158	135	172	152	326	0	102	220	316	8	173	149
	93%	94%	92%	92%	96%	84%	95%	96%	92%	96%	100%	%0	89%	97%	94%	100%	95%	91%
Rent	17	8	9	11	6	2	8	5	12	5	0	17	11	6	17	0	8	8
	5%	5%	5%	6%	3%	11%	5%	4%	6%	3%	%	100%	10%	3%	5%	0%	4%	5%
Don't know	7	2	5	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	1	6
	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	5%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	80	2%	80	1%	80	1%	4%

15. How many, if any, children under the age of 18 live in your home?

		Gend	ler	Reside	-		Age		Child		Own ł	nome	Educa		Vot		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS	10YRS OR +	18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER 1		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
0	186	92	94	77	109	5	46	121	186	0	172	12	81	103	179	6	99	85
	53%	53%	54%	45%	62%	26%	28%	86%	100%	0%	53%	71%	70%	46%	53%	75%	54%	52%
1	58	25	33	34	24	3	40	12	0	58	55	3	17	40	56	0	28	28
	17%	14%	19%	20%	14%	16%	24%	9%	0%	37%	17%	18%	15%	18%	17%	80	15%	17%
2	71	40	31	40	31	9	54	6	0	71	70	1	13	56	70	1	40	30
	20%	23%	18%	23%	18%	47%	33%	4%	0%	45%	21%	6%	11%	25%	21%	13%	22%	18%
3	19	10	9	11	8	2	16	1	0	19	17	1	3%	16	18	1	11	8
	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	11%	10%	1%	0%	12%	5%	6%	3%	7%	5%	13%	6%	5%
4	4	1	3	2	2	0	4	0	0	4	4	0	0	4	4	0	2	2
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	%0	2%	%0	80	3%	1%	%0	%0	2%	1%	80	1%	1%
5	4	3	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	0	0	4	4	0	2	2
	1%	2%	1%	2%	%0	%0	2%	%0	80	3%	1%	80	%0	2%	1%	80	1%	1%
6	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	0%	1%	80	1%	%0	%0	1%	%0	80	1%	0%	%0	%0	0%	0%	80	%0	1%
10	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	%0	1%	%0	1%	0%	%0	1%	%0	0%	0%	%0	1%
Don't know or refused	6	3	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	0	6
	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	%0	%0	0%	1%	%0	80	1%	1%	0%	%0	4%
Mean	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9		2.0	2.0	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.5	1.9	2.0
SD	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.1	1.3	0.6	1.0	2.0		1.2	1.2	0.9	1.6	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.9	1.4

16. Are you a registered voter?

		Gend	ler	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educa	ation	Vot	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT	NO DGREE	COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Yes	336 96%	171 98%	165 94%	164 96%	171 98%	18 95%	160 96%	139 99%	179 96%	154 97%	316 97%	17 100%	113 98%	219 97%	336 100%	0 %0	176 97%	
No	8 2%	2 1%	6 3%	5 3%	3 2%	0 %0	6 4%	2 1%	6 3%	2 1%	8 2%	0 0%	2 2%	6 3%	0 0%	8 100%	4 2%	4 2%
Don't know/ refused	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 5%	0 80	0 80	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	0 80	0 %0	1 0%	0 %0	0 80	2 1%	4 2%

17. What is your age, please?

		Gend	ler	Resid	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	ome	Educa	tion	Vote	er	Cont	act
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 10YRS		18-34	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER I		CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
18-24	4 1%	4 2%	0 0%	3 2%	1 1%	4 21%	0 0%	0 80	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 6%	4 3%	0 %0	4 1%	0 0%	3 2%	1 1%
25-34	15 4%		8 5%	12 7%	3 2%	15 79%	0 0%	0 80	3 2%	12 8%	14 4%	1 6%	4 3%	11 5%	14 4%	0 0%	6 3%	9 6%
35-44	70 20%		32 18%	49 29%	21 12%	0 80	70 42%	0 %0	8 4%	61 39%	68 21%	2 12%	9 88	60 27%	67 20%	3 38%	37 20%	32 20%
45-54	96 27%		52 30%	43 25%	53 30%	0 %0	96 58%	0 %0	38 20%	58 37%	90 28%	6 35%	38 33%	58 26%	93 28%	3 38%	51 28%	42 26%
55-64	85 24%		32 18%	38 22%	47 27%	0 %0	0 0%	85 60%	66 35%	19 12%	82 25%	3 18%	23 20%	62 27%	83 25%	2 25%	48 26%	36 22%
65 or older	56 16%		37 21%	18 11%	38 22%	0 %0	0 %0	56 40%	55 30%	1 1%	53 16%	2 12%	32 28%	24 11%	56 17%	0 %0	25 14%	31 19%
Refused	24 7%		14 8%	8 5%	12 7%	0 80	0 %0	0 %0	14 8%	5 3%	17 5%	2 12%	5 4%	11 5%	19 6%	0 %0	12 7%	12 7%
	Mean 52.7 SD 13.1		53.8 13.5	49.3 12.9	56.1 12.4	28.2 4.8	45.4 5.4	64.7 8.5	59.9 12.2	44.8 8.7	52.9 12.8	50.6 14.7	56.3 15.3		52.9 13.1	49.3 8.9		53.3 14.0

18. And what is the last year of education you had the opportunity to complete?

		Geno	ler	Reside			Age		Child: NO	ren? HAS	Own ł	lome	Educa NO	tion COLEG	Vot REG'D	-	Cont CON	NO
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMAL	10YRS		18-34 3	85-54	55+	CHILD (OWN	RENT	DGREE		VOTER			CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Less than 12th grade	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	1
	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	80	%0	1%	1%	%0	1%	%0	2%	%0	1%	80	1%	1%
High school graduate	37 11%	17 10%	20 11%	12 7%	25 14%	5 26%	11 7%	19 13%	27 15%	10 6%	29 9%	6 35%	37 32%	0 %0	37 11%	0 0%	13 7%	
Some college	76	41	35	35	41	3	36	34	52	24	71	5	76	0	74	2	38	38
	22%	23%	20%	20%	23%	16%	22%	24%	28%	15%	22%	29%	66%	%0	22%	25%	21%	23%
College graduate	101	52	49	50	51	4	60	32	40	60	100	1	0	101	97	3	51	48
	29%	30%	28%	29%	29%	21%	36%	23%	22%	38%	31%	6%	80	45%	29%	38%	28%	29%
Some post-graduate	33	15	18	18	15	2	17	13	14	19	32	1	0	33	33	0	19	13
	9%	9%	10%	11%	9%	11%	10%	9%	8%	12%	10%	6%	%0	15%	10%	80	10%	8%
Master's degree or higher	92	48	44	51	41	5	41	41	49	42	88	4	0	92	89	3	59	32
	26%	27%	25%	30%	23%	26%	25%	29%	26%	27%	27%	24%	0%	41%	26%	38%	32%	20%
Refused	9	2	7	4	1	0	1	0	2	3	4	0	0	0	4	0	1	7
	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%	0%	1%	%0	1%	2%	1%	%0	%0	80	1%	80	1%	4%

19. And finally, what do you believe is the one most important issue West Linn's City Council needs to address over the next year?

		Gend	er	Reside			Age		Child		Own h	ome	Educat		Vote		Cont	
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS	OR +	18-34 3	85-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER H	NOT REGʻD	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Total	350	175	175	171	175	19	166	141	186	158	326	17	115	226	336	8	182	163
Growth	127	58	69	58	69	5	71	47	59	67	125	2	32	95	124	3	59	66
	36%	33%	39%	34%	39%	26%	43%	33%	32%	42%	38%	12%	28%	42%	37%	38%	32%	40%
St/ sidewalk maint	36	24	12	18	18	0	14	22	24	12	35	1	19	17	35	1	20	16
	10%	14%	7%	11%	10%	0%	8%	16%	13%	8%	11%	6%	17%	8%	10%	13%	11%	10%
Budget mgmt/ use \$ wisely	35	20	15	17	18	3	15	15	24	11	33	2	11	24	34	1	18	16
	10%	11%	9%	10%	10%	16%	9%	11%	13%	7%	10%	12%	10%	11%	10%	13%	10%	10%
Schools	21	9	12	9	12	2	12	6	4	16	21	0	4	17	21	0	10	10
	6%	5%	7%	5%	7%	11%	7%	4%	2%	10%	6%	%0	3%	8%	6%	%0	5%	6%
Lower taxes	20	13	7	9	11	0	7	9	14	6	20	0	8	12	20	0	11	9
	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%	0%	4%	6%	8%	4%	6%	80	7%	5%	6%	0%	6%	6%
More parks/ rec.	13	3	10	5	8	0	9	4	4	9	13	0	5	8	12	1	9	4
	4%	2%	6%	3%	5%	0%	5%	3%	2%	6%	4%	80	4%	4%	4%	13%	5%	2%
Need more police	10	4	6	7	3	1	4	4	4	6	10	0	1	9	10	0	5	5
	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	80	1%	4%	3%	0%	3%	3%
Traffic	10	7	3	4	6	1	5	3	5	5	10	0	3	7	9	0	10	0
	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	80	3%	3%	3%	80	5%	0%
More eco. devel.	10	4	6	9	1	0	4	6	7	3	8	2	2	8	10	0	7	3
	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	0%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	12%	2%	4%	3%	80	4%	2%
Public safety	9	2	7	5	4	0	3	4	6	3	8	1	5	4	9	0	4	5
	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	0%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	4%	2%	3%	80	2%	3%
Maintain/ upgrade infrastructure	7	5	2	3	4	1	3	3	4	3	7	0	4	3	7	0	6	1
	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	80	3%	1%	2%	80	3%	1%
Listen to citizens	6	3	3	0	6	0	2	4	4	2	6	0	0	6	6	0	6	0
	2%	2%	2%	80	3%	0%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	80	%0	3%	2%	80	3%	0%
Reduce fees	6	2	4	2	4	0	2	4	5	1	6	0	3	3	6	0	5	1
	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	%0	3%	1%	2%	80	3%	1%
Better public image/vision	5	2	3	4	1	0	2	3	4	1	4	1	1	4	5	0	2	3
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	6%	1%	2%	1%	80	1%	2%
Sustainability	4	3	1	3	1	0	3	1	1	3	4	0	1	3	3	1	0	4
	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	१०	1%	1%	1%	13%	%0	2%
Establish trust w/ community	4	3	1	2	2	0	1	3	1	3	4	0	1	3	4	0	4	0
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	80	1%	1%	1%	80	2%	0%

19. And finally, what do you believe is the one most important issue West Linn's City Council needs to address over the next year? (Cont.)

		Gend	er	Reside	ency		Age		Child	ren?	Own h	lome	Educat	ion	Vote	er	Cont	.act
	TOTAL	MALE H	FEMAL	UNDER 1 10YRS		18-34 3	35-54	55+	NO CHILD (HAS CHILD	OWN	RENT		COLEG GRAD	REG'D VOTER F	NOT REG'D	CON TACT	NO CONTC
Water supply	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	1	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	%0	1%	2%	0%	1%	%0	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Library	3	1	2	2	1	0	2	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	2	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%	1%	%0	1%	1%
Regulate n'hood assoc.	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	80	0%	1%	1%	80	1%	0%
Other	23	11	12	9	14	2	8	11	17	6	19	3	6	17	22	1	15	8
	7%	6%	7%	5%	8%	11%	5%	8%	9%	4%	6%	18%	5%	8%	7%	13%	8%	5%
Don't know	44	19	25	22	18	4	16	16	21	19	33	5	18	17	39	0	17	26
	13%	11%	14%	13%	10%	21%	10%	11%	11%	12%	10%	29%	16%	8%	12%	80	9%	16%